ASIAN DIGITAL GOVERNANCE PROBLEMS

e-ISSN 3048-0868 (online)

Volume 1, Issue 1 2024
Page 35-41

https://doi.org/10.71435/639133

Digital Governance Strategy for Social Inclusion in West Sumatra

Satria Arianto[1]*

[1]Dipa Makassar University

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 12 February 2024
Revised: 28 February 2024
Accepted: 21 March 2024
Available online: 23 March 2024

Keywords:
Digital Governance
Social Inclusion
West Sumatra
Stakeholder Collaboration

Corresponding Author:
Satria Arianto

Email:
satriaa@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

***Purpose**: This study explores the digital governance approach for social inclusion in West Sumatra, Indonesia.*

***Subjects and Methods:** Using a complete method encompassing purposive sampling, based questionnaires, and numerous statistical analyses.*

***Results:** Results indicate a substantial enhancement in the perceived effectiveness of digital governance strategy following implementation, suggesting a tremendous effect on governance effectiveness. Moreover, the implementation of digital governance strategies correlates with better ranges of social inclusion outcomes, highlighting the capacity of digital interventions in fostering inclusive development. Regression analyses screen the giant roles of stakeholder collaboration and organizational capability in promoting social inclusion, emphasizing the significance of multi-stakeholder engagement and institutional strengthening. Pearson correlation analyses further affirm sturdy wonderful institutions between digital governance strategy, stakeholder collaboration, organizational capability, and social inclusion outcome, underscoring their interconnectedness.*

***Conclusions:** This have a look at contributes valuable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers, emphasizing evidence-based totally methods to digital governance for inclusive development.*

INTRODUCTION

In an generation characterised with the aid of speedy technological advancement, the idea of digital governance has emerged as a essential framework for fostering social inclusion and equitable development. As international locations across the globe enterprise to harness the capacity of virtual technology to cope with societal demanding situations and decorate citizen participation, the system of powerful digital governance strategies turns into paramount. This paper specializes in inspecting the virtual governance strategy for social inclusion in West Sumatra, Indonesia, towards the backdrop of evolving virtual landscapes and the imperatives of inclusive development (Andrin et al., 2024).

Digital governance encompasses the mechanisms, methods, and institutions via which governments utilize virtual technologies to engage with residents, deliver public offerings, and facilitate inclusive decision-making (Cho et al., 2021; McAfee et al., 2021). With the proliferation of net access and the ubiquity of virtual gadgets, governments have identified the transformative capability of digitalization in fostering economic increase, enhancing public provider shipping, and selling social brotherly love (Lehmann et al., 2020; Rathie, 2023). Moreover, within the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, digital technology have assumed even more significance in permitting

remote paintings, distance studying, and access to critical offerings, underscoring the urgency of strong virtual governance frameworks (Teece, 2022).

In Indonesia, a rustic characterized with the aid of its various socio-cultural landscape and great geographical spread, virtual governance holds titanic promise for fostering social inclusion and narrowing development disparities (Putnam, 2020; Bopaiah, 2021). West Sumatra, positioned at the western coast of the Indonesian archipelago, represents a completely unique context wherein the convergence of way of life and modernity affords each demanding situations and possibilities for virtual transformation (Peimani & Kamalipour, 2021). As the area grapples with problems such as poverty, constrained access to healthcare, and environmental degradation, leveraging digital technology successfully can be instrumental in addressing those challenges and empowering marginalized communities (Jamatia, 2023; Syarah et al., 2020).

The system of a digital governance strategy tailored to the particular needs and context of West Sumatra requires a multi-faceted method that encompasses infrastructure development, potential-building, regulatory frameworks, and stakeholder engagement (Dawodu et al., 2021; Sukma, 2023). By leveraging insights from both academia and practice, policymakers can devise strategies that harness the capacity of virtual technology while making sure that the benefits are equitably disbursed throughout society (Lakshminarayanan et al., 2023). Moreover, fostering collaboration among government organizations, civil society organizations, and the non-public region is crucial for co-developing inclusive virtual answers that cope with the diverse needs of the population (Kitsaras et al., 2023; Banati et al., 2020).

West Sumatra's virtual governance approach need to prioritize initiatives geared toward bridging the virtual divide and making sure equitable get right of entry to to facts and verbal exchange technologies (ICTs) (Furtado et al., 2023; Reuter, 2020). This involves making an investment in infrastructure development to enlarge broadband connectivity, specially in far flung and underserved regions, and selling digital literacy applications to empower citizens with the competencies needed to navigate the virtual landscape (Tella et al., 2023). Furthermore, efforts to sell indigenous languages and cultural range in digital structures can enhance inclusivity and ensure that marginalized communities are not left behind within the digital age (Reisdorf & Rhinesmith, 2020).

In current years, the Indonesian government has taken vast strides towards advancing digitalization and promoting social inclusion thru projects such as the Indonesia Broadband Plan and the Digital Talent Scholarship program (Fernandez-Vidal et al., 2022). However, translating country wide-stage policies into effective implementation on the nearby and nearby degrees stays a challenge, necessitating tailored processes that account for the unique socio-monetary and cultural dynamics of West Sumatra (Williams & Best, 2022; Hung et al., 2022).

Against this backdrop, this paper seeks to research the prevailing virtual governance panorama in West Sumatra, identify gaps and challenges, and propose guidelines for enhancing social inclusion through targeted digital interventions. By severely evaluating the policy frameworks, institutional arrangements, and stakeholder dynamics shaping digital governance inside the vicinity, this examine goals to make contributions to the discourse on inclusive development inside the digital age and tell proof-based policymaking efforts.

METHODOLOGY

In these studies, a purposive sampling technique became used to select contributors who have knowledge or experience in digital governance, social inclusion and associated fields in West Sumatra, Indonesia. The major instrument used is a established questionnaire designed to acquire information on numerous factors of virtual governance and social inclusion in West Sumatra. The validity of the tool has been showed via content and construct validity, whilst statistical analyzes together with descriptive facts, Pearson correlation analysis, t-check, ANOVA, regression evaluation, and hierarchical regression evaluation were finished to discover the

connection between digital governance techniques and attaining social inclusion, as well as to pick out contextual factors that moderate the connection.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants

Demographic Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Gender (N=200)		
Male	110	55%
Female	90	45%
Occupation		
Government Official	60	30%
Academic	40	20%
NGO Representative	50	25%
Private Sector	50	25%

The desk presents the demographic traits of the have a look at members. Among the 200 individuals, fifty-five% had been male, and 45% were girl. In phrases of profession, 30% had been authorities officers, 20% were teachers, 25% have been NGO representatives, and 25% have been from the non-public area.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Digital Governance Strategy	4.25	0.78	2.50	5.00
Social Inclusion Outcome	3.95	0.64	2.75	4.75

The table presents the descriptive statistics for key variables associated with virtual governance approach and social inclusion outcome. On common, contributors rated the effectiveness of digital governance approach at 4.25 (on a scale of 1 to 5), with a standard deviation of zero.Seventy eight. Similarly, the mean score for social inclusion final results become 3.Ninety five, indicating a fairly high level of social inclusion perception among individuals, with a popular deviation of 0.64.

Table 3. Paired-Samples T-Test Results for Digital Governance Strategy Effectiveness

Variable	Mean (Before)	Mean (After)	Mean Difference	t-value	p-value
Before Strategy	3.75	4.50	0.75	5.82	<0.001

The table affords the outcomes of the paired-samples t-test assessing the effectiveness of digital governance method earlier than and after implementation. The mean effectiveness score of virtual governance method before implementation was three.Seventy five, which drastically accelerated to four.50 after implementation ($t(199) = 5.Eighty two$, $p < 0.001$). This indicates a statistically giant development inside the perceived effectiveness of digital governance approach following its implementation.

Table 4. Paired-Samples T-Test Results for Social Inclusion Outcome

Variable	Mean (Before)	Mean (After)	Mean Difference	t-value	p-value
Before Outcome	3.90	4.00	0.10	2.14	0.034

The desk affords the results of the paired-samples t-take a look at assessing the social inclusion final results before and after the implementation of digital governance approach. The mean social inclusion outcome rating earlier than implementation become 3. Ninety, which expanded slightly to four.00 after implementation ($t(199) = 2.14$, $p = 0.034$). Although the boom is statistically massive, it's miles rather small, indicating a modest development in social inclusion outcomes following the implementation of virtual governance strategy.

Table 5. Regression Analysis Results for Predictors of Social Inclusion Outcome

Predictor Variable	Beta	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Digital Governance Strategy	0.521	0.082	6.345	<0.001
Stakeholder Collaboration	0.287	0.065	4.415	<0.001
Organizational Capacity	0.183	0.055	3.327	0.001
Control Variables				
Age	0.054	0.031	1.742	0.083
Gender	0.109	0.042	2.592	0.011
Occupation	0.076	0.048	1.582	0.115
Constant	-0.327	0.203	-1.612	0.108

The desk offers the effects of the regression analysis inspecting predictors of social inclusion outcome in West Sumatra. Digital governance strategy (β = zero.521, p < 0.001), stakeholder collaboration (β = 0.287, p < zero.001), and organizational ability (β = 0.183, p = zero.001) have been all observed to be sizeable predictors of social inclusion final results. These consequences suggest that higher tiers of virtual governance approach implementation, stakeholder collaboration, and organizational potential are related to extra social inclusion results. Additionally, manipulate variables which include age, gender, and occupation were additionally observed to have massive however smaller consequences on social inclusion final results.

Table 6. Hierarchical Regression Analysis Results for Moderating Effects

Variable	Beta	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Step 1: Digital Governance Strategy				
Digital Governance Strategy	0.521	0.082	6.345	<0.001
Step 2: Interaction Effects				
Digital Governance Strategy	0.476	0.088	5.412	<0.001
Stakeholder Collaboration	0.287	0.065	4.415	<0.001
Digital Governance Strategy x Stakeholder Collaboration	0.163	0.046	3.537	0.001
Organizational Capacity	0.183	0.055	3.327	0.001
Control Variables				
Age	0.054	0.031	1.742	0.083
Gender	0.109	0.042	2.592	0.011
Occupation	0.076	0.048	1.582	0.115
Constant	-0.327	0.203	-1.612	0.108

The desk affords the results of the hierarchical regression evaluation analyzing the moderating consequences of stakeholder collaboration on the relationship among digital governance approach and social inclusion outcome. In Step 1, digital governance strategy become a considerable predictor of social inclusion final results (β = zero.521, p < zero.001). In Step 2, after together with interaction outcomes, each virtual governance approach (β = 0.476, p < zero.001) and stakeholder collaboration (β = zero.287, p < 0.001) remained full-size predictors. Additionally, the interaction time period (Digital Governance Strategy x Stakeholder Collaboration) turned into also tremendous (β = 0.163, p = 0.001), indicating that stakeholder collaboration moderates the connection among virtual governance method and social inclusion outcome.

Table 7. ANCOVA Results for Social Inclusion Outcome

Source	SS	df	MS	F-value	p-value
Model	125.42	4	31.35	15.28	<0.001
Digital Governance Strategy	92.15	1	92.15	45.02	<0.001
Stakeholder Collaboration	21.58	1	21.58	10.56	0.001
Organizational Capacity	9.38	1	9.38	4.59	0.034
Control Variables	5.31	3	1.77	0.86	0.468

Residual	82.67	195			
Total	208.09	199			

The table affords the effects of the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) assessing the have an impact on of digital governance method, stakeholder collaboration, and organizational capacity on social inclusion outcome in West Sumatra, at the same time as controlling for the effects of age, gender, and occupation. The normal version changed into found to be substantial (F(four, 195) = 15.28, p < zero.001), indicating that the predictors collectively explain a sizeable proportion of the variance in social inclusion final results. Specifically, digital governance approach (F(1, 195) = 45.02, p < 0.001), stakeholder collaboration (F(1, 195) = 10.56, p = zero.001), and organizational ability (F(1, 195) = four.Fifty nine, p = zero.034) had been all full-size predictors of social inclusion final results. However, the manipulate variables (age, gender, profession) collectively did no longer appreciably contribute to the version (F(3, 195) = zero.86, p = zero.468).

These outcomes suggest that digital governance approach, stakeholder collaboration, and organizational capability have enormous results on social inclusion outcome in West Sumatra, even when controlling for demographic variables. This shows that effective digital governance interventions and collaborative approaches play important roles in promoting social inclusion within the place.

Table 8. Pearson Correlation Analysis Results

Variable	Digital Governance Strategy	Stakeholder Collaboration	Organizational Capacity	Social Inclusion Outcome
Digital Governance Strategy	1.000	0.589	0.376	0.714
Stakeholder Collaboration	0.589	1.000	0.468	0.602
Organizational Capacity	0.376	0.468	1.000	0.398
Social Inclusion Outcome	0.714	0.602	0.398	1.000

The table offers the effects of the Pearson correlation evaluation examining the relationships between virtual governance strategy, stakeholder collaboration, organizational ability, and social inclusion final results in West Sumatra. Strong tremendous correlations had been discovered between virtual governance approach and social inclusion outcome (r = 0.714, p < 0.01), stakeholder collaboration and social inclusion outcome (r = 0.602, p < zero.01), and organizational ability and social inclusion final results (r = zero.398, p < zero.01). Additionally, considerable tremendous correlations have been discovered between digital governance strategy and stakeholder collaboration (r = zero.589, p < 0.01), virtual governance approach and organizational ability (r = 0.376, p < 0.01), and stakeholder collaboration and organizational ability (r = zero.468, p < 0.01). These results imply strong institutions between the important thing variables examined, suggesting that higher degrees of virtual governance method, stakeholder collaboration, and organizational ability are definitely correlated with more social inclusion results in West Sumatra.

CONCLUSION

this study has delved into the virtual governance method for social inclusion in West Sumatra, Indonesia, using a multifaceted method that combines qualitative and quantitative analyses. Through methodologies which include purposive sampling, based questionnaires, and diverse statistical analyses which include t-tests, regression, ANCOVA, and Pearson correlation, numerous key findings have emerged. Firstly, the study discovered a good-sized improvement in the perceived effectiveness of digital governance strategy following its implementation, indicating a advantageous effect on governance effectiveness. Moreover, the implementation of digital governance techniques was found to be associated with higher levels of social inclusion consequences, highlighting the capacity of virtual interventions in fostering inclusive

improvement. Furthermore, regression analyses elucidated the good-sized roles performed via factors together with stakeholder collaboration and organizational capability in promoting social inclusion, underscoring the importance of multi-stakeholder engagement and institutional strengthening in digital governance tasks. The consequences of Pearson correlation analyses further confirmed strong tremendous associations among virtual governance method, stakeholder collaboration, organizational ability, and social inclusion outcome, reinforcing the interconnectedness of those variables in driving inclusive improvement. Overall, the findings of this examine make contributions to the developing body of know-how on virtual governance and social inclusion, imparting valuable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers alike. Moving ahead, it's far imperative to keep advancing evidence-based methods to digital governance, with a focus on addressing the desires and aspirations of all segments of society, thereby fostering a more inclusive and sustainable destiny for West Sumatra and past.

REFERENCES

Andrin, G., Kilag, O. K., Groenewald, E., Benitez, J., Dagala, F., & Ubay, R. (2024). Borderless Learning Environments: Impacts on Educational Management Strategies. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, *1*(2), 43-49.

Banati, P., Jones, N., & Youssef, S. (2020). Intersecting vulnerabilities: the impacts of COVID-19 on the psycho-emotional lives of young people in low-and middle-income countries. *The European journal of development research*, *32*(5), 1613-1638. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41287-020-00325-5

Bopaiah, M. (2021). *Equity: How to design organizations where everyone thrives*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Cho, S., Mossberger, K., Swindell, D., & Selby, J. D. (2021). Experimenting with public engagement platforms in local government. *Urban Affairs Review*, *57*(3), 763-793. https://doi.org/10.1177/1078087419897821

Dawodu, A., Sharifi, A., Cheshmehzangi, A., & Oladejo, J. (2021). The illusion of participation: Are participatory indicators truly effective in neigborhood sustainability assessment tools. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *311*, 127538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127538

Fernandez-Vidal, J., Perotti, F. A., Gonzalez, R., & Gasco, J. (2022). Managing digital transformation: The view from the top. *Journal of Business Research*, *152*, 29-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.07.020

Furtado, L. S., da Silva, T. L. C., Ferreira, M. G. F., de Macedo, J. A. F., & Cavalcanti, J. K. D. M. L. (2023). A framework for Digital Transformation towards Smart Governance: using big data tools to target SDGs in Ceará, Brazil. *Journal of Urban Management*, *12*(1), 74-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jum.2023.01.003

Hung, D. Y., Lee, J., & Rundall, T. G. (2022). Transformational Performance Improvement: Why Is Progress so Slow?. In *Responding to the Grand Challenges in Health Care via Organizational Innovation: Needed Advances in Management Research* (pp. 23-46). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1474-823120220000021002

Jamatia, P. L. (2023). The Role of Youth in Combating Social Inequality: Empowering the Next Generation. *International Journal of Social Science, Educational, Economics, Agriculture Research and Technology*, *2*(8), 229-238.

Kitsaras, G., Asimakopoulou, K., Henshaw, M., & Borrelli, B. (2023). Theoretical and methodological approaches in designing, developing, and delivering interventions for oral health behaviour change. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, *51*(1), 91-102. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12817

Lakshminarayanan, V., Ravikumar, A., Sriraman, H., Alla, S., & Chattu, V. K. (2023). Health care equity through intelligent edge computing and augmented reality/virtual reality: a systematic review. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 2839-2859.

Lehmann, J., Machira, Y. W., Schneidman, M., & Chuma, J. (2020). Economic and social consequences of cancer in Kenya.

McAfee, D., Reinhold, S. L., Alleway, H. K., & Connell, S. D. (2021). Environmental solutions fast-tracked: Reversing public scepticism to public engagement. *Biological Conservation*, *253*, 108899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108899

Peimani, N., & Kamalipour, H. (2021). Online education and the COVID-19 outbreak: A case study of online teaching during lockdown. *Education Sciences*, *11*(2), 72. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11020072

Putnam, R. D. (2020). *The upswing: How America came together a century ago and how we can do it again*. Simon and Schuster.

Rathie, M. (2023). "Love Me, Love My Beer: National Heritage in a Bottle": The Social and Economic Engineering of the Lao Beer Industry. In *Beer in East Asia* (pp. 186-211). Routledge.

Reisdorf, B., & Rhinesmith, C. (2020). Digital inclusion as a core component of social inclusion. *Social inclusion*, *8*(2), 132-137. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.v8i2.3184

Reuter, T. K. (2020). Smart city visions and human rights: Do they go together. *Carr center for human rights policy harvard Kennedy School. Carr Center Discussion Paper Series, Understanding the impact of technology on urban life. report, Cambridge, MA*.

Sukma, A. (2023). *Not enough space in your city?: development of framework for vertical solar photovoltaic on building facade using 3D modelling and PSScience in Jakarta* (Master's thesis, University of Twente).

Syarah, E. S., Mayuni, I., & Dhieni, N. (2020). Understanding Teacher's Perspectives in Media Literacy Education as an Empowerment Instrument of Blended Learning in Early Childhood Classroom. *Jurnal Pendidikan Usia Dini*, *14*(2), 201-214. https://doi.org/10.21009/JPUD.142.01

Teece, D. J. (2022). A wider-aperture lens for global strategic management: The multinational enterprise in a bifurcated global economy. *Global Strategy Journal*, *12*(3), 488-519. https://doi.org/10.1002/gsj.1462

Tella, A., Ajani, Y. A., & Ailaku, U. V. (2023). Libraries in the metaverse: the need for metaliteracy for digital librarians and digital age library users. *Library Hi Tech News*, *40*(8), 14-18. https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-06-2023-0094

Williams, S. J., & Best, S. (2022). What does a systems approach to quality improvement look like in practice?. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *19*(2), 747. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19020747