Adaptive Governance Research

e-ISSN 3063-0878 (online)

Volume 1, Issue 1 2024
Page 20-26

https://doi.org/10.71435/639059

Adaptive Governance Strategy for Forest Conservation in Sumatra in Balancing Environmental Needs and Community Involvement

Indira Ratu Julia[1]

[1]Program Studi Teknik Lingkungan, Universitas Muslim Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 23 January 2024
Revised: 09 February 2024
Accepted: 29 February 2024
Available online: 05 March 2024

Keywords:
Adaptive Governance
Forest Conservation
Sumatra
Community Participation

Corresponding Author:
Indira Ratu Julia

Email:
idrrtle@email.com

ABSTRACT

***Purpose**: This study investigates the efficacy of adaptive governance strategies for forest conservation in Sumatra, that specialize in Lubuk Beringin village.*

***Subjects and Methods:** Through a combined-techniques technique, such as surveys and interviews, stakeholders' perceptions and studies have been analyzed.*

***Results:** Results reveal that network participation and institutional preparations considerably have an impact on stakeholders' perceptions of forest conservation.*

***Conclusions:** The findings emphasize the importance of bendy governance frameworks in fostering collaboration and resilience. Moving forward, promoting neighborhood empowerment and multi-stakeholder partnerships is crucial for sustainable forest management.*

INTRODUCTION

In the realm of environmental conservation, the management of forests stands as a pivotal challenge, in particular in regions like Sumatra where wealthy biodiversity coexists with sizeable human populations. The sensitive balance between preserving forests for ecological integrity and assembly the wishes of neighborhood communities underscore the complexity of governance strategies in such regions (Mackey et al., 2024). Sumatra, Indonesia's biggest island, hosts numerous ecosystems, inclusive of vital rainforests which can be home to endangered species like the Sumatran tiger and orangutan. However, these forests face severa threats inclusive of deforestation, habitat fragmentation, and unlawful logging, posing good sized risks to biodiversity and surroundings balance (Bousfield et al., 2020).

Amid those demanding situations, the idea of adaptive governance has emerged as a promising approach to address the complexities of woodland conservation even as incorporating the various desires and views of stakeholders (Butler et al., 2021; Hodge & Adams, 2016). Adaptive governance emphasizes flexibility, getting to know, and collaboration amongst stakeholders to navigate uncertainties and sell sustainable outcomes (Lubell & Morrison, 2021; Schultz et al.,

2020). This approach recognizes the dynamic nature of socio-ecological structures and the necessity of responsive strategies to manipulate them effectively.

Sumatra's forests, situated on the intersection of ecological, financial, and social interests, call for adaptive governance strategies that reconcile conservation desires with the livelihoods and rights of nearby groups (Atkinson & Alibašić, 2023). One such community is the village of Lubuk Beringin, nestled in the forests of Riau province. This paper explores the imperative for adaptive governance in forest conservation in Sumatra, focusing on the problematic interplay among environmental needs and community involvement, with specific reference to Lubuk Beringin. By examining latest scholarly works and empirical evidence, this research aims to explain the standards, challenges, and opportunities inherent in enforcing adaptive governance techniques for woodland conservation in Sumatra (Junaedi, 2023; Villamor et a., 2023).

Sumatra's forests harbor unprecedented biodiversity, comprising specific species and ecosystems found nowhere else on Earth (Cross et al., 2020). These forests provide critical ecosystem offerings along with carbon sequestration, water regulation, and habitat provision for wildlife, underpinning the area's ecological resilience and human properly-being (Zywert, 2021). Moreover, Sumatra's forests play a important role in mitigating weather alternate through storing carbon and mitigating greenhouse fuel emissions related to deforestation and land degradation (Kruid et al., 2021).

However, rampant deforestation and land-use modifications pushed via agricultural enlargement, infrastructure improvement, and unlawful logging pose extreme threats to Sumatra's forests. The island has skilled alarming charges of deforestation, resulting in habitat loss, biodiversity decline, and improved vulnerability to natural screw ups inclusive of floods and landslides (Supriatna et al., 2020). The conversion of forests for oil palm and pulpwood plantations has been a prime driver of deforestation, exacerbating environmental degradation and undermining the integrity of ecosystems (Bengochea Paz et al., 2022).

In response to the multifaceted challenges dealing with woodland conservation, the concept of adaptive governance has gained prominence as a holistic technique to foster resilience and sustainability in socio-ecological systems. Adaptive governance emphasizes the iterative technique of choice-making, collaboration, and gaining knowledge of amongst diverse stakeholders to navigate complicated environmental issues (Sahoo & Goswami, 2023). Unlike traditional top-down approaches, adaptive governance frameworks prioritize flexibility, participation, and decentralized decision-making to house numerous interests and adapt to changing instances.

Central to adaptive governance is the significant involvement of nearby communities in choice-making procedures and resource management projects. Engaging communities as active individuals now not best complements the legitimacy and effectiveness of conservation efforts but additionally fosters a sense of ownership and stewardship over natural resources (Dawson et al., 2021). Local information structures and traditional practices often supplement scientific knowledge, enriching the information of environment dynamics and informing adaptive management strategies (Wang et al., 2022).

Despite its capability advantages, enforcing adaptive governance for wooded area conservation in Sumatra faces several challenges. Balancing competing interests and electricity dynamics amongst stakeholders, ensuring equitable distribution of blessings, and constructing ability for collaborative governance require sustained efforts and sources (Dawson et al., 2021). Moreover, insufficient felony frameworks, susceptible enforcement mechanisms, and conflicting land-use regulations pose institutional boundaries to effective adaptive governance (Steelman, 2022).

However, amidst those challenges, there also are opportunities to strengthen adaptive governance mechanisms in Sumatra. The developing reputation of indigenous land rights, the emergence of community-based totally conservation tasks, and the increasing integration of traditional information into decision-making strategies represent nice steps closer to extra inclusive and resilient governance preparations (Dawson et al., 2021). Collaborative structures, multi-stakeholder partnerships, and adaptive co-control arrangements offer promising avenues for fostering synergies and constructing consensus around shared conservation objectives.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this examine entailed a purposive sampling method to pick members from various stakeholder groups concerned in wooded area governance in Sumatra's Lubuk Beringin village. A structured questionnaire, carefully demonstrated via expert assessment and pilot checking out, changed into applied to collect quantitative records on stakeholders' perceptions of adaptive governance mechanisms and conservation practices. Data series concerned face-to-face interviews and self-administered surveys, complemented by using qualitative analysis of thematic insights drawn from semi-established interviews. Quantitative data underwent descriptive and inferential statistical evaluation, along with t-tests and ANOVA, to examine variations in perceptions primarily based on demographic variables. Ethical concerns have been paramount, with knowledgeable consent obtained and privateness ensured for all participants. Limitations protected the move-sectional nature of the examine and capability biases in self-reported statistics. Nonetheless, the technique furnished precious insights into the complexities of forest governance and conservation techniques in Sumatra, informing destiny policy and practice for sustainable wooded area management.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before discussing the research results further, a general overview of the demographic characteristics of the study participants is presented below. The table below details the participants' demographic variables, including gender, age group, and education level. These data provide initial insight into the distribution of participants by gender, age range, and education level, relevant to the context of this study. Table 1 below presents the frequencies and percentages for each category, providing an overview of the demographic composition of the respondents involved in this study.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants

Demographic Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Gender (n = 150)		
Male	85	56.7%
Female	65	43.3%
Age Group (n = 150)		
18-30 years	45	30.0%
31-45 years	60	40.0%
46-60 years	35	23.3%
Above 60 years	10	6.7%
Education Level (n = 150)		
High School or below	40	26.7%
Bachelor's Degree	70	46.7%
Master's Degree or above	40	26.7%

The demographic characteristics of the participants reveal a well-balanced sample with respect to gender, age, and education level. The gender distribution shows a slight predominance of males, while the age distribution highlights a concentration of participants in the 31-45-year age range. Regarding educational attainment, the majority of participants hold a Bachelor's degree, followed by an equal proportion of individuals with a Master's degree or higher and those with a high school education or lower. This diverse demographic profile provides a broad perspective on the study's findings, ensuring that various age groups and education levels are adequately represented.

Table 2. Stakeholders' Perceptions of Adaptive Governance Mechanisms

Perception Item	Mean Score (1-5)	Standard Deviation
Effectiveness of Community Engagement	4.12	0.56
Transparency of Decision-making Processes	3.78	0.72
Inclusivity of Stakeholder Participation	4.05	0.60
Flexibility of Governance Structures	3.95	0.68

The data on stakeholders' perceptions of adaptive governance mechanisms reflect generally positive views regarding community engagement, stakeholder participation, and governance flexibility. Participants expressed strong support for collaborative decision-making processes,

with a particularly favorable view of community engagement. However, there is a slight indication that transparency in decision-making processes could be improved, as it received a relatively lower rating. While stakeholder participation and the flexibility of governance structures were also viewed positively, some variability in responses suggests that these aspects may require further refinement to ensure broader satisfaction. These findings provide a foundational understanding of stakeholders' perspectives and will inform further analysis on the effectiveness of adaptive governance mechanisms in Lubuk Beringin, Sumatra.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	N
Perceptions Score Pre	4.62	0.78	100
Perceptions Score Post	4.78	0.64	100

The table presents the descriptive statistics for stakeholders' perceptions before and after the implementation of adaptive governance strategies in Lubuk Beringin. The data show a positive shift in perceptions, with an increase in the average score following the intervention. This suggests that stakeholders generally viewed the governance strategies more favorably after their implementation. The relatively small standard deviation indicates that the perceptions were consistently positive across participants. These findings imply that the intervention had a notable impact on improving stakeholders' views of the adaptive governance mechanisms.

Table 4. Paired-Samples T-Test

Variable	t-value	df	p-value	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval
Perceptions Scores	3.21	99	0.002	0.16	(0.06, 0.26)

The results of the paired-samples t-test indicate a significant change in stakeholders' perceptions before and after the implementation of adaptive governance strategies. The positive mean difference suggests an improvement in perceptions following the intervention. The 95% confidence interval further supports this finding, showing that the true difference in perceptions is likely to fall within a specific range, confirming the positive impact of the governance strategies. These results highlight the effectiveness of the intervention in enhancing stakeholders' views on adaptive governance in Lubuk Beringin.

Table 5. Regression Analysis

Predictor Variables	Beta Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Community Participation Score	0.45	0.12	3.76	0.001
Institutional Arrangements Score	0.28	0.09	3.12	0.005
Demographic Variable 1	0.11	0.08	1.38	0.175
Demographic Variable 2	-0.05	0.06	-0.87	0.392

The regression analysis identifies community participation and institutional arrangements as significant predictors of stakeholders' perception scores. The results indicate that higher levels of community participation and better institutional arrangements are associated with more favorable perceptions of the governance mechanisms. In contrast, the demographic variables included in the analysis did not show a statistically significant impact on perception scores. These findings emphasize the importance of active community engagement and effective institutional frameworks in shaping stakeholders' views on governance interventions.

Table 6. Model Summary

R Square	Adjusted R Square	F-value	df (Regression)	p-value (F)
0.532	0.514	29.78	3	0.000

The model summary indicates that the regression model explains a substantial portion of the variance in stakeholders' perception scores. The F-value demonstrates that the overall model is statistically significant, confirming that the predictor variables collectively provide a good fit for the data. This suggests that the factors included in the model are effective in explaining stakeholders' perceptions of the governance mechanisms, reinforcing the validity of the regression analysis.

Table 7. ANCOVA

Source	Sum of Squares (SS)	df	Mean Square (MS)	F-value	p-value
Model (Regression)	245.76	3	81.92	18.47	0.000
Covariate (Demographic Variable)	21.05	1	21.05	4.76	0.031
Error (Residual)	159.24	95	1.68		
Total	425.05	99			

ANCOVA results indicate that both the regression model and the covariates significantly explained variation in stakeholder perception scores. The model, which included adaptive governance strategies and demographic covariates, demonstrated a strong overall effect. The covariates, which accounted for demographic differences, also had a significant impact on perception scores, indicating that stakeholder perceptions were influenced by both governance strategies and demographic factors. These findings underscore the importance of considering demographic variables when evaluating the effectiveness of governance interventions.

Table 8. Post-hoc Comparisons

Groups Compared	Mean Difference	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval	p-value
Intervention Group vs. Control Group	0.86	0.24	(0.39, 1.33)	0.001
Intervention Group vs. Comparison Group	0.72	0.21	(0.32, 1.13)	0.002
Control Group vs. Comparison Group	0.14	0.18	(-0.21, 0.48)	0.429

The post-hoc comparisons highlight significant differences between the intervention group and the control and comparison groups. The intervention group showed a notably higher mean score compared to both the control group and the comparison group, suggesting that the intervention had a positive impact. In contrast, there was no significant difference between the control group and the comparison group, indicating that the latter group did not show any substantial change in perception. These results suggest that the intervention effectively improved stakeholders' perceptions compared to the other groups.

Discussion

The results of this study provide valuable insights into the impact of adaptive governance strategies on stakeholders' perceptions in Lubuk Beringin. The demographic characteristics of the participants reveal a well-balanced sample in terms of gender, age, and education level, which ensures that the findings can be generalized to a diverse population. The overall positive shift in stakeholders' perceptions, as indicated by the significant increase in perception scores after the intervention, suggests that the implementation of adaptive governance strategies had a noticeable effect. This is further supported by the paired-samples t-test, which confirms that the intervention led to a statistically significant improvement in stakeholder perceptions.

The regression analysis highlights community participation and institutional arrangements as key predictors of stakeholders' perceptions. This underscores the importance of involving the community in decision-making processes and ensuring that institutional frameworks are robust in order to foster positive perceptions of governance. These findings align with the significant relationships identified in the Pearson correlation analysis, where community participation and institutional arrangements were strongly correlated with favorable perceptions. This suggests that strengthening community engagement and improving institutional arrangements are essential for enhancing governance effectiveness in similar settings.

Moreover, the ANCOVA results indicate that both the adaptive governance strategies and demographic factors play significant roles in shaping stakeholders' perceptions. While the intervention itself had a strong impact, the demographic covariates also contributed to explaining variations in perception scores. This emphasizes the need for a nuanced approach when evaluating the effectiveness of governance interventions, considering both the strategies

employed and the demographic diversity of the population. The post-hoc comparisons further reinforce the effectiveness of the intervention, as significant differences were found between the intervention group and both the control and comparison groups. Overall, the study highlights the importance of adaptive governance strategies, community involvement, and institutional support in shaping positive perceptions and improving governance outcomes.

CONCLUSION

this examines sheds mild on the effectiveness of adaptive governance strategies for wooded area conservation in Sumatra's Lubuk Beringin village, revealing the pivotal position of network participation and institutional arrangements in shaping stakeholders' perceptions and attitudes. The findings underscore the importance of flexible, inclusive governance frameworks in fostering collaboration, resilience, and sustainability within wooded area management structures. Moving forward, efforts to strengthen adaptive governance mechanisms need to prioritize local empowerment, understanding integration, and multi-stakeholder partnerships to cope with complicated environmental demanding situations and sell equitable conservation outcomes. By embracing the concepts of adaptive governance and leveraging numerous stakeholder knowledge, Sumatra's forests can be controlled more correctly, making sure their lengthy-time period ecological integrity and the well-being of local communities.

REFERENCES

Abhayawansa, S., Adams, C. A., & Neesham, C. (2021). Accountability and governance in pursuit of Sustainable Development Goals: conceptualising how governments create value. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *34*(4), 923-945. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-07-2020-4667

Atkinson, C. L., & Alibašić, H. (2023). Prospects for Governance and Climate Change Resilience in Peatland Management in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 1839. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15031839

Bengochea Paz, D., Henderson, K., & Loreau, M. (2022). Habitat percolation transition undermines sustainability in social-ecological agricultural systems. *Ecology Letters*, *25*(1), 163-176. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13914

Bousfield, C. G., Cerullo, G. R., Massam, M. R., & Edwards, D. P. (2020). Protecting environmental and socio-economic values of selectively logged tropical forests in the Anthropocene. In *Advances in Ecological Research* (Vol. 62, pp. 1-52). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2020.01.006

Butler, J. R., Marzano, M., Pettorelli, N., Durant, S. M., du Toit, J. T., & Young, J. C. (2021). Decision-making for rewilding: an adaptive governance framework for social-ecological complexity. *Frontiers in Conservation Science*, *2*, 681545. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcosc.2021.681545

Cross, A. T., Krueger, T. A., Gonella, P. M., Robinson, A. S., & Fleischmann, A. S. (2020). Conservation of carnivorous plants in the age of extinction. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *24*, e01272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01272

Dawson, N. M., Coolsaet, B., Sterling, E. J., Loveridge, R., Gross-Camp, N. D., Wongbusarakum, S., ... & Rosado-May, F. J. (2021). The role of Indigenous peoples and local communities in effective and equitable conservation.

Dressel, S., Johansson, M., Ericsson, G., & Sandström, C. (2020). Perceived adaptive capacity within a multi-level governance setting: The role of bonding, bridging, and linking social capital. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *104*, 88-97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2019.11.011

Hodge, I., & Adams, W. M. (2016). Short-term projects versus adaptive governance: Conflicting demands in the management of ecological restoration. *Land*, *5*(4), 39. https://doi.org/10.3390/land5040039

Junaedi, J. (2023). Implementation Of Village Governance Based On Principles Of Good Governance Of Public Service In Indonesia. *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*, *4*(1), 249-266. https://doi.org/10.56442/ijble.v4i1.160

Kruid, S., Macedo, M. N., Gorelik, S. R., Walker, W., Moutinho, P., Brando, P. M., ... & Coe, M. T. (2021). Beyond deforestation: carbon emissions from land grabbing and forest degradation in the Brazilian Amazon. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, *4*, 645282. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2021.645282

Lubell, M., & Morrison, T. H. (2021). Institutional navigation for polycentric sustainability governance. *Nature Sustainability*, *4*(8), 664-671. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00707-5

Mackey, B., Morgan, E., & Keith, H. (2024). Evaluating forest landscape management for ecosystem integrity. *Landscape Research*, *49*(2), 246-267. https://doi.org/10.1080/01426397.2023.2284938

Pathak, S., & Muralidharan, E. (2022). Social inclusion and collective leadership for disadvantaged entrepreneurship: a theoretical perspective. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 1-24.

Sahoo, S. K., & Goswami, S. S. (2023). A comprehensive review of multiple criteria decision-making (MCDM) Methods: advancements, applications, and future directions. *Decision Making Advances*, *1*(1), 25-48. https://doi.org/10.31181/dma1120237

Schultz, L., West, S., & Florêncio, C. (2020). Nurturing adaptive governance through environmental monitoring: People, practices, politics in the Kruger to Canyons Biosphere Region, South Africa. *Knowledge for governance*, 293-318. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47150-7_13

Shogren, K. A., & Raley, S. K. (2023). *The Self-Determined Learning Model of Instruction: A Practitioner's Guide to Implementation for Special Education*. Taylor & Francis.

Steelman, T. (2022). Adaptive governance. In *Handbook on theories of governance* (pp. 580-591). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Supriatna, J., Shekelle, M., Fuad, H. A., Winarni, N. L., Dwiyahreni, A. A., Farid, M., ... & Zakaria, Z. (2020). Deforestation on the Indonesian island of Sulawesi and the loss of primate habitat. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *24*, e01205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01205

Syahimin, A. N. (2023). Community Resilience and Disaster Preparedness: A Social Analysis of Vulnerability and Coping Mechanisms in Indonesian Villages. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Social Sciences Study*, *4*(4), 9-18. https://doi.org/10.47616/jamrsss.v4i4.466

Villamor, G. B., van Noordwijk, M., & Troitzsch, K. G. (2023). Triangulating agent-based models, role-playing games, and a stakeholder-centric approach to change scenarios. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *64*, 101323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2023.101323

Wang, Y., Lv, W., Xue, K., Wang, S., Zhang, L., Hu, R., ... & Niu, H. (2022). Grassland changes and adaptive management on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, *3*(10), 668-683. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00330-8

Zhanbayev, R. A., Irfan, M., Shutaleva, A. V., Maksimov, D. G., Abdykadyrkyzy, R., & Filiz, Ş. (2023). Demoethical model of sustainable development of society: A roadmap towards digital transformation. *Sustainability*, *15*(16), 12478. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151612478

Zywert, K. (2021). Cultivating Human and Planetary Health in a Time of Social-Ecological Transformation.