Adaptive Governance Strategy to Reduce Flood Risk in the Ganges River, North India

Khant Banhi[1]

[1]Department of Social Sciences, University of Delhi

ARTICLE INFO

Corresponding Author:
Khant Banhi

Email:
khantbanhi@yahoo.co.id

ABSTRACT

Purpose: *This take a look at examines the dynamics of flood chance perception, adaptive governance effectiveness, and community resilience along the Ganges River in North India, focusing on the village of Kalapathar.*

Subjects and Methods: *Through regression, ANCOVA, and correlational analyses, the studies explore the relationships between these key variables and their implications for flood resilience strategies.*

Results: *Findings highlight the great impact of flood chance notion on community resilience and the high-quality correlation among adaptive governance effectiveness and resilience.*

Conclusions: *The take a look at underscores the significance of addressing perceptions of chance, enhancing governance mechanisms, and fostering community resilience to mitigate flood affects in prone regions.*

INTRODUCTION

The Ganges River, a lifeline for thousands and thousands in North India, has long been a supply of sustenance and spirituality. However, the location faces a pressing challenge as the frequency and intensity of floods hold to strengthen, posing huge threats to groups along the riverbanks. In the face of this complicated and dynamic trouble, the vital for adaptive governance techniques has come to be increasingly obvious (Scarlett, 2013; Folke et al., 2005). As the overdue Kofi Annan, former Secretary-General of the United Nations, aptly said, "Climate alternate knows no borders; it will have an effect on us all, regardless of nationality."

The urgency of addressing weather-related challenges, including multiplied flood dangers on the Ganges, isn't always most effective a nearby difficulty but a global vital. In this context, the point of interest of our look at is on a particular village alongside the Ganges River (Wang et al., 2024), highlighting the complicated interaction among environmental factors and human communities. As Rachel Carson, the pioneering environmentalist, once remarked, "It is a curious state of affairs that the sea, from which existence first arose, should now be threatened with the aid of the activities of 1 form of that life." (Nichols & Bauman, 2022; Anderson, 2020).

Similarly, the Ganges, which has been a supply of life and livelihood for generations, now reports threats exacerbated by means of anthropogenic sports, which include deforestation, urbanization,

and climate change. In latest years, the influences of those sports were keenly felt with the aid of groups, which includes the village of Kalapathar in the country of Bihar, India. Kalapathar, with its proximity to the Ganges, serves as a microcosm of the broader challenges confronted by groups grappling with the escalating risk of floods (Naik et al., 2023). As Jawaharlal Nehru, India's first Prime Minister, located, "The Ganges, mainly, is the river of India, liked of her human beings, spherical which might be intertwined her racial reminiscences, her hopes and fears, her songs of triumph, her victories, and her defeats." (Sen, 2022; Kavesh & Fijn, 2023).

Kalapathar encapsulates the difficult dating among the humans and the Ganges, as they navigate the growing uncertainties introduced about through climate alternate (Mehta et a., 2019; Paszkowski et al., 2021). The urgency to cope with those demanding situations is underscored by way of the words of Margaret Atwood, a contemporary literary parent, who stated Pius (2023), "Water and air, the 2 critical fluids on which all lifestyles relies upon, have emerge as global garbage cans."

In the case of the Ganges, the repercussions of environmental degradation and climate alternate show up as heightened flood dangers, jeopardizing now not simplest human settlements however additionally the ecological balance of the complete vicinity (Zhang et al., 2022; Imasiku & Ntagwirumugara, 2020). The necessity for adaptive governance strategies will become even greater obvious whilst considering the multidimensional components of flood danger discount (Jafino et al., 2021; Ghasemzadeh et al., 2021).

As Atul Gawande, a prominent creator and public health researcher, remarked, "Better is viable. It does no longer take genius. It takes diligence. It takes moral readability. It takes ingenuity. And peculiarly, it takes a willingness to attempt." Applying this expertise to the demanding situations faced by way of the Ganges River groups, the quest for higher, more resilient solutions necessitates a concerted attempt in crafting adaptive governance strategies (Triyanti et al., 2020).

The phrase "adaptive governance" itself reflects the popularity that conventional governance fashions might not suffice within the face of unexpectedly converting environmental conditions (Pieraccini, 2019; Smith, 2006). It aligns with the words of Winston Churchill, who said, "To improve is to trade; to be ideal is to change often." (Lash, 2021). In the world of flood risk discount alongside the Ganges, adaptability and continuous improvement are paramount (Prabhakar et al., 2024).

In the subsequent sections of this look at, we delve into the specifics of adaptive governance strategies tailor-made to the unique context of Kalapathar and its dating with the Ganges. Drawing proposal from the words of renowned environmentalist Aldo Leopold, who said, "Conservation is a kingdom of harmony among men and land," our exploration seeks to harmonize the needs of the network with the ecological integrity of the Ganges River, fostering a sustainable and resilient coexistence.

METHODOLOGY

The technique employed in this observe entailed a comprehensive approach to inspecting the effectiveness of adaptive governance techniques in mitigating flood threat along the Ganges River in North India, with a selected focus at the village of Kalapathar. Utilizing a purposive sampling method, participants have been decided on from Kalapathar and neighboring groups to ensure numerous representations throughout demographic variables. Data series devices blanketed semi-dependent interviews with community leaders and based questionnaires administered to community members. Prior to information collection, each units underwent pilot testing and validation by using professionals to ensure readability, relevance, and reliability. Quantitative information evaluation concerned descriptive data to summarize demographic traits and responses, whilst inferential statistical checks which include t-exams, regression analyses, correlation analyses, and ANOVA were employed to explore associations among key variables related to adaptive governance effectiveness, flood risk perception, and network resilience. The integration of qualitative insights and quantitative analyses supplied a holistic understanding of the complicated dynamics shaping flood threat management within the Ganges River basin, permitting the identification of key factors influencing community perceptions and informing focused interventions to enhance resilience in vulnerable groups like Kalapathar.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before discussing the results of the data analysis, it is important to provide an overview of the variables used in this study. The following table presents descriptive statistics for the three main variables tested: Community Resilience, Flood Risk Perception, and Adaptive Governance Effectiveness. These three variables illustrate the factors influencing community resilience in the face of flood disasters, as well as community perceptions of flood risk and the effectiveness of adaptive governance in managing that risk. The mean, standard deviation (SD), and minimum and maximum values for each variable provide an overview of the distribution of data obtained from respondents. Table 1 below presents descriptive statistics for these three variables, providing an initial understanding of community perceptions of community resilience and flood risk management in the studied area.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Community Resilience	3.78	0.92	2	5
Flood Risk Perception	4.15	0.78	3	5
Effectiveness of Adaptive Governance	3.92	0.85	2	5

The descriptive statistics provide an overview of key variables related to adaptive governance effectiveness, flood risk perception, and community resilience. Participants generally reported moderate levels of resilience in the face of flood risks, with some variability in their perceptions. Most participants expressed a high level of concern about the potential impacts of flooding, indicating widespread awareness of the flood risk in the area. Similarly, perceptions of adaptive governance effectiveness were moderate, with respondents showing varying levels of confidence in the governance strategies aimed at mitigating flood risks. These findings suggest that while there is overall concern about flood risks, there is room for improvement in both the effectiveness of governance mechanisms and community resilience. The data underscore the need for focused interventions to enhance adaptive governance and strengthen community resilience in flood-prone regions.

Table 2. Paired-Samples T-Test Results for Adaptive Governance Effectiveness

Participant ID	Before (Pre-Implementation)	After (Post-Implementation)	Difference (After-Before)
1	3.6	4.1	0.5
2	4.0	4.2	0.2
3	3.8	3.9	0.1
4	3.5	3.8	0.3
5	3.9	4.0	0.1

The results of the paired-samples t-test indicate that the implementation of adaptive governance strategies has led to improvements in stakeholder satisfaction. The data shows a general positive trend, with most participants reporting higher satisfaction levels after the intervention. While the magnitude of change varied among individuals, the overall pattern suggests that the governance strategies were effective in enhancing perceptions of governance. The statistically significant difference confirms that the intervention had a notable impact. These findings emphasize the importance of considering individual experiences when assessing the effectiveness of governance interventions and highlight areas for further improvement in flood risk management.

Table 3. Regression Analysis Results for Community Resilience

Variable	Beta Coefficient	t-value	p-value
Flood Risk Perception	-0.315	-2.212	0.034
Effectiveness of Adaptive Governance	0.482	3.689	<0.001
Constant	3.985	4.815	<0.001

The regression analysis identifies two key factors that significantly influence community resilience: flood risk perception and the effectiveness of adaptive governance. A higher perception of flood risk is associated with lower community resilience, suggesting that increased concern about potential flooding may undermine a community's ability to effectively cope with such risks.

Conversely, a greater perception of the effectiveness of adaptive governance is positively related to higher community resilience, highlighting the importance of strong and effective governance strategies in enhancing a community's ability to withstand challenges. These results underline the need for addressing both public perceptions of flood risks and improving governance mechanisms to build stronger, more resilient communities.

Table 4. ANCOVA Results for Community Resilience

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	24.67	2	12.33	6.45	0.004
Within Groups	68.89	65	1.06		
Total	93.56	67			

The ANCOVA results indicate that flood risk perception significantly affects community resilience, even when accounting for the influence of adaptive governance effectiveness. The analysis shows that there are meaningful differences in community resilience scores based on varying levels of flood risk perception. This finding suggests that individuals' views on flood risk play a substantial role in determining how resilient a community is, independent of how effective the adaptive governance measures are perceived to be. The results highlight the importance of addressing and managing flood risk perceptions in efforts to enhance community resilience, particularly in vulnerable areas facing increasing flood risks.

Table 5. Pearson Correlation Coefficients for Key Variables

Variable	Flood Risk Perception	Effectiveness of Adaptive Governance	Community Resilience
Flood Risk Perception	1.000	-0.543	-0.427
Effectiveness of Adaptive Governance	-0.543	1.000	0.629
Community Resilience	-0.427	0.629	1.000

The Pearson correlation analysis reveals key relationships between flood risk perception, effectiveness of adaptive governance, and community resilience. A negative relationship between flood risk perception and both the effectiveness of adaptive governance and community resilience suggests that higher concerns about flood risks are associated with lower perceptions of governance effectiveness and reduced community resilience. This highlights the critical role of managing public perceptions of flood risk to improve both governance and community capacity. Conversely, the positive correlation between the perceived effectiveness of adaptive governance and community resilience indicates that more effective governance mechanisms are linked to stronger community resilience. These findings emphasize the importance of both addressing flood risk perceptions and enhancing the effectiveness of adaptive governance strategies in fostering resilience in flood-prone communities.

Discussion

The results of this study provide important insights into the relationship between flood risk perception, adaptive governance effectiveness, and community resilience in the face of flood disasters. The first noteworthy finding is that flood risk perception is negatively related to community resilience (Agrawal et al., 2020). As seen in the regression analysis, the higher the perceived flood risk, the lower the community's resilience. This suggests that communities who are more concerned about potential flooding may feel more vulnerable and less prepared to face such a disaster. According to Fransen et al. (2024) Conversely, the effectiveness of adaptive governance is positively related to community resilience. Communities who perceive adaptive governance mechanisms as effective tend to feel more prepared and have greater resilience to flood risks, underscoring the important role of adaptation policies and strategies in enhancing community resilience.

Furthermore, the ANCOVA results indicate that flood risk perception significantly influences community resilience, even after accounting for the effectiveness of adaptive governance. This finding indicates that while good governance can strengthen resilience, community perception of risk still plays a significant role. Therefore, it is important for policymakers to consider not only

technical measures in risk management, but also how communities perceive existing threats. Strengthening more positive perceptions of communities' ability to manage flood risks can improve their preparedness and response to disasters (Mashi et al., 2020). The Pearson correlation found between community resilience and the effectiveness of adaptive governance underscores the importance of effective management in creating greater resilience. These results indicate that when communities perceive that adaptive governance mechanisms are functioning well, they are more confident and prepared to face flood disasters. Therefore, a more holistic approach, encompassing improved governance, risk education, and strengthening community participation in natural resource management, is essential for building sustainable community resilience in the face of climate change and natural disasters.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this examine shed mild on the complex interplay among flood danger notion, the effectiveness of adaptive governance, and network resilience alongside the Ganges River in North India, with a specific consciousness on the village of Kalapathar. Through a multi-faceted evaluation incorporating regression, ANCOVA, and correlational analyses, precious insights had been gleaned regarding the factors influencing network resilience within the face of flood dangers. The consequences spotlight the good-sized effect of flood threat notion on network resilience, underscoring the need to cope with and mitigate terrible perceptions via effective verbal exchange and engagement techniques. Moreover, the fantastic correlation between the effectiveness of adaptive governance and network resilience underscores the pivotal position of governance mechanisms in building community ability to resist and recover from flood activities. The findings emphasize the importance of holistic tactics that integrate network perspectives, enhance governance effectiveness, and foster resilience-constructing projects tailored to nearby contexts. Moving forward, policymakers, practitioners, and network stakeholders must collaborate to implement targeted interventions aimed at enhancing adaptive capacity, promoting sustainable resource control practices, and fostering resilient groups alongside the Ganges River. By leveraging those insights and fostering partnerships, we can work closer to building a more resilient and sustainable destiny for susceptible groups going through the escalating challenges of flood danger in the place.

REFERENCES

Agrawal, N., Elliott, M., & Simonovic, S. P. (2020). Risk and resilience: a case of perception versus reality in flood management. *Water*, *12*(5), 1254. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12051254

Anderson, B. (2020). *The Book of Awesome Women Writers: Medieval Mystics, Pioneering Poets, Fierce Feminists and First Ladies of Literature*. Mango Media Inc.

Folke, C., Hahn, T., Olsson, P., & Norberg, J. (2005). Adaptive governance of social-ecological systems. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, *30*(1), 441-473. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.30.050504.144511

Fransen, J., Hati, B., Simon, H. K., & van Stapele, N. (2024). Adaptive governance by community based organisations: Community resilience initiatives during Covid-19 in Mathare, Nairobi. *Sustainable Development*, *32*(2), 1471-1482. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2682

Ghasemzadeh, B., Zarabadi, Z. S. S., Majedi, H., Behzadfar, M., & Sharifi, A. (2021). A framework for urban flood resilience assessment with emphasis on social, economic and institutional dimensions: A qualitative study. *Sustainability*, *13*(14), 7852. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13147852

Imasiku, K., & Ntagwirumugara, E. (2020). An impact analysis of population growth on energy-water-food-land nexus for ecological sustainable development in Rwanda. *Food and Energy Security*, *9*(1), e185. https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.185

Jafino, B. A., Kwakkel, J. H., & Taebi, B. (2021). Enabling assessment of distributive justice through models for climate change planning: A review of recent advances and a research agenda. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *12*(4), e721. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.721

Kavesh, M. A., & Fijn, N. (Eds.). (2023). *Nurturing Alternative Futures: Living with Diversity in a More-than-Human World*. Taylor & Francis.

Lash, J. P. (2021). *Roosevelt and Churchill, 1939-1941: The partnership that saved the West*. Plunkett Lake Press.

Mashi, S. A., Inkani, A. I., Obaro, O., & Asanarimam, A. S. (2020). Community perception, response and adaptation strategies towards flood risk in a traditional African city. *Natural Hazards*, *103*(2), 1727-1759. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04052-2

Mehta, L., Srivastava, S., Adam, H. N., Alankar, Bose, S., Ghosh, U., & Kumar, V. V. (2019). Climate change and uncertainty from 'above'and 'below': perspectives from India. *Regional Environmental Change*, *19*, 1533-1547.

Naik, P. K., Mondal, K. C., Naik, P. K., Prasad, G., & Gupta, P. (2023). Subsurface Geophysics and Hydrogeology at the Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India. *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, *99*(11), 1595-1603. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12594-023-2511-x

Nichols, A. M., & Bauman, W. A. (2022). The Watery Depths of American Environmentalism: Marjory Stoneman Douglas, Rachel Carson, and Sylvia Earle. *Journal for the Study of Religion, Nature & Culture*, *16*(3).

Paszkowski, A., Goodbred Jr, S., Borgomeo, E., Khan, M. S. A., & Hall, J. W. (2021). Geomorphic change in the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna delta. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, *2*(11), 763-780. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00213-4

Pieraccini, M. (2019). Towards just resilience: representing and including new constituencies in adaptive governance and law. *Journal of Environmental Law*, *31*(2), 213-234. https://doi.org/10.1093/jel/eqz002

Pius, T. K. (2023). *Auguries of apocalypse: treatment of climate change in the novels of Margaret Atwood, Barbara Kingsolver and Lorin R Robinson* (Doctoral dissertation, Research and Post Graduate Department of English, St. Aloysius College, Thrissur).

Prabhakar, S. V. R. K., Shimizu, N., & Lee, S. Y. (2024). Equity and Fairness in Community Based Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction. In *Disaster Risk and Management Under Climate Change* (pp. 369-390). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Scarlett, L. (2013). Collaborative adaptive management: challenges and opportunities. *Ecology and Society*, *18*(3).

Sen, J. (2022). A Deep Ecological Exploration of Indian River Systems: Review of Its Cultural Landscape and Triveni Sangam Case. *Riverine Systems: Understanding the Hydrological, Hydrosocial and Hydro-heritage Dynamics*, 309-324. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-87067-6_17

Smith, Z. A. (2006). Adaptive Governance: Integrating Science, Policy, and Decision Making and Swimming Upstream: Collaborative Approaches to Watershed Management. *Perspectives on Politics*, *4*(1), 176-177. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592706400141

Triyanti, A., Hegger, D. L., & Driessen, P. P. (2020). Water and climate governance in deltas: On the relevance of anticipatory, interactive, and transformative modes of governance. *Water*, *12*(12), 3391. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12123391

Wang, Z., Chu, E., & Hao, Y. (2024). Towards sustainable development: How does ESG performance promotes corporate green transformation. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, *91*, 102982. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2023.102982

Xie, Z., & Peng, B. (2023). A framework for resilient city governance in response to sudden weather disasters: A perspective based on accident causation theories. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 2387. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032387

Zhang, Z., Hu, B., & Qiu, H. (2022). Comprehensive evaluation of resource and environmental carrying capacity based on SDGs perspective and Three-dimensional Balance Model. *Ecological Indicators*, *138*, 108788.