Journal of Agrocomplex and Engineering

e-ISSN 3109-9955 (online)

Volume 1, Issue 3 2025
Page 109-117

https://doi.org/xxxxxx

Designing and Implementing Advanced Machinery for the Agrocomplex to Boost Agricultural Output

Ainun Ismaya Ismail[1], Bella Febriani[2]

[1]Horticultural Plant Cultivation, Gowa Agricultural Development Polytechnic, Indonesia
[2]Animal Husbandry and Animal Welfare Extension Study Program, Gowa Agricultural Development Polytechnic, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 16 March 2025
Revised: 21 April 2025
Accepted: 20 May 2025
Available online: 24 May 2025

Keywords:
Agricultural Machinery
Mechanization
Farm Profitability

Corresponding Author:
Ainun Ismaya Ismail

Email:
ainunismaya@gmail.com

Copyright © 2025, Journal of Agrocomplex and Engineering, Under the license CC BY- SA 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

ABSTRACT

***Purpose**: This study examines the influence of modern machinery adoption on agricultural productivity, labor efficiency, and farm profitability.*

***Subjects and Methods:** Using a quantitative approach, data were gathered from 100 farms utilizing technologies such as automated harvesters, GPS-guided tractors, and precision irrigation systems. Statistical analyses, including regression models, were applied to identify key predictors of improvements in farm performance.*

***Results:** Findings show a notable rise in agricultural productivity, with crop yields increasing by 26.67% after machinery adoption. Labor efficiency improved significantly, demonstrated by a 31.25% reduction in labor hours per crop. Farm profitability rose by 30%, indicating that long-term financial gains outweigh initial investment costs. The analysis also revealed that machinery use, farm size, and crop type strongly influence productivity outcomes. These results align with existing mechanization literature but extend knowledge by assessing the combined impact of multiple agricultural technologies. The study provides important insights for policymakers seeking to promote sustainable and efficient farming.*

***Conclusions:** Advanced machinery significantly enhances productivity, reduces labor demands, and improves profitability in agriculture. The evidence underscores the importance of continued modernization and highlights the need for targeted policy support to expand machinery adoption, particularly for smallholder farmers, to strengthen agricultural performance and sustainability.*

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture, as one of the oldest man occupations, has always been among the primary determinants of economies and providers of food to nations. However, global population is rising steadily and the current world population of approximately 7.7 billion is expected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050 (Dobroslavska et al., 2024). The traditional agricultural practices therefore prove inadequate. Consequently, there has been massive call for the application of innovation in the agricultural sector with efficiency, stability and sustainability being the main determinants. The agrocomplex which constitutes such sectors within the so-called agriculture, and including farming, processing, and distributing sectors has emerged as such a site of the innovations (Zhevora et al., 2021).

According to Reddy (2022), the technology is among the most effective strategies of boosting on the productivity within the agrocomplex since it includes effective machinery. Today, engineering solutions have penetrated every aspect of how farming practices are conducted from precision farming equipment to smart harvesting techniques (Monteiro et al., 2021). There has been the incorporation of various sophisticated apparatus in farming due to the necessity of better, inexpensive and environment friendly means of producing food. Conventional practices that were efficient in the past may employ a lot of tedious handwork that is not only costly but can also not sustain food production amid growing world demands (Deng & Chen, 2021). The use of mechanization in smart farming like self-driving tractors, drones, and robotic irrigation services and smart farming brings its solutions that provide efficiency and sustainability in the farming sector.

Precision agriculture that applies information derived from a combination of sensors and satellite to farm management and production has been observed to work with water conservation by about 20-30% yet increasing crop yields by approximately 15%. The importance of possessing sophisticated equipment in the agrocomplex cannot be overemphasised. Technology has entered the field of agriculture in form of automation and robotics, this change has brought with it the positive change of efficiency, lower costs of labor, an advancement in the precision of various cultivations (Mahmud et al., 2020; Bazargani & Deemyad, 2024).

Equipment including automatic harvesters, planting machinery among others are instrumental in minimizing costs and time involved in production. Such tendency towards mechanization has been most phrases in the large-scale farming practices, where human labor is not only limited but also expensive. FAO has noted automation as one of the most sophisticated ways of today's industrial advancement in agriculture that has help increase production per unit area for farmers in the developed world (Onwude et al., 2016).

Nevertheless, on the positive side, the implication of advanced machinery in agriculture has long been praised; but the use of advanced machinery remains a contentious issue to date. The financial capital required to procure advanced machinery, inadequate access to training, and downright absence of a well-developed support system in some parts of the world most especially, the developing nations has been the bane of the use of advanced machinery. Moreover, the effective application of superior technology demands not only tangible capital outlays but also changes in farmers and other actors in the agrocomplex (Jha et al., 2019).

Antonelli et al. (2023) observed that technology uptake in developing countries, particularly in agriculture is slow because farmers are rigid with change and prefer sticking to tried practices. This is where there engineering in designing and developing machinery that ought to be able to tackle these tasks comes in. Technological improvements have seen new machines that are cheap, fast and flexible enough to suit different farming systems. the globally innovative minimal cost, energy-efficient tractors, have opened up mechanization for the smallholder farmers especially in developing countries (Jensen et al., 2025).

Tractor guidance using GPS, monitoring crops using drones and other such developments in machinery are enabling farmers to get the most out of their land which otherwise would be wasted (Barrile et al., 2022). Through these augmentations, these improvements have the possibilities of greatly enhancing yields in the agricultural sector besides considering environment and economic factors. Thus, that there is more need for research and development in technologies used in the agriculture industry than before. The international population is expected to be 9.7 billion in 2050: food demand will be higher by 70% against the present levels (De et al., 2021).

Nevertheless, given the stringency of the constraints on the availability of the resources and constantly rising problem of climate change it may be barely possible to meet this demand with the aid of traditional approaches to farming. Thus, the proper design and application of the superior machinery are critical to the effectiveness of the agricultural sector today while in a position to satisfy the future requirements and sustain the industry. Precision farming as well as the use of robot's avatar and autonomous options in farming is key to efficiency and enhanced productivity (Padhiary et al., 2024; Awais et al., 2025). Moreover, the incorporation of principles of engineering in agrocomplex will lead to enhancement of flow in the chain, reduction of inefficiencies and waste, therefore, food security.

The role of the advanced machinery to the environment cannot be entirely ruled out as one may think. Intensive farming entails germination inhumanity to nature or environment where much soil is eroded much water is used, lots of chemical fertilizers used (Horrigan et al., 2002). On the other hand, sophisticated technology, which uses application of water, fertilizers and pesticide in correct proportions can minimize the impact of agriculture on the environment. drip irrigation systems can provide water to the root area of crops therefore using half the amount of water required by conventional irrigation systems. In the same way, the rate of chemical consumption on machinery can also be minimized by the application of specific chemicals where needed, with precision and accuracy to prevent pollution of natural resources and over usage of chemicals.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study uses a quantitative approach to assess the impact of technological improvements on crop yields in the agro-complex sector. The primary focus is analyzing the influence of technical innovations on production quality, operational efficiency, and agricultural productivity. This approach allows researchers to measure changes before and after machine implementation through measurable indicators.

Population and Sampling

The study population included various agro-complexes and agricultural enterprises that have adopted advanced technologies in crop cultivation, livestock farming, and processing. A purposive sampling technique was used to select relevant agro-complexes, and fifty farms and agro-industries in the northern region of Cameroon were randomly selected. Participants were selected based on the type of machinery used, the duration of use, and its impact on production.

Data Collection Procedures

Data collection was conducted through surveys and secondary data sources. The surveys were designed to obtain quantitative and qualitative data on the type of machinery, frequency of use, perceived impact of the technology, and challenges during implementation. Additionally, secondary data were obtained from farm production records, machine performance reports, and productivity statistics before and after the technology implementation. The surveys were administered to farm managers, agricultural engineers, and operational staff with direct experience using the machinery. Data collection took place over six months and adhered to the principles of confidentiality and voluntary participation.

Operationalization of Variables

Technology implementation was measured through the use of specific machinery such as automatic harvesters, precision irrigation systems, GPS-enabled tractors, and crop monitoring drones. Data were collected on the level of machine adoption, scale of use, and technical features. Agricultural output was measured through changes in crop yield, labor productivity, resource use, and profitability. Comparisons were made using pre-implementation and post-implementation data, taking into account control variables such as land size, crop type, environmental conditions, and climate.

Data Analysis Techniques

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS and R. Descriptive statistics were used to describe general trends in machine adoption, productivity changes, and operational efficiency through the calculation of means, frequencies, and standard deviations. To test the hypothesis regarding the significant effect of technology on agricultural output, a paired t-test was used to compare results before and after machine implementation. Additionally, regression analysis was used to examine the relationship between the level of technology adoption and output increases, taking into account control variables. The validity and reliability of the survey instrument were tested through a preliminary study using Cronbach's alpha, which was also used to refine the research instrument.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since the process of farming is becoming more and more difficult because of factors like the lack of human labor, high costs of farming and the desire to embrace what could be called sustainable farming, mechanization has been held out as part of the solution to the problem. The purpose of this research was to model the returns from adopting various forms of equipment; including the automated harvester machinery, control tractors that are guided by GPS among others, and the computerized irrigation systems across different types of farms. Therefore, the research seeks to fill this gap by presenting descriptive data that shows how these technologies affect performance indicators in the sector, and add to the debate on the use of technology to transform agriculture. The subsequent sections of this research will affect the findings of this study that will further depict the concrete changes that have been witnessed on the farms that were researched on.

Table 1. Cronbach's Alpha for Internal Consistency of Survey Items

Survey Item	Cronbach's Alpha
Machinery Usage Satisfaction	0.86
Labor Efficiency Improvement	0.89
Crop Yield Enhancement	0.92
Cost-Benefit of Machinery Adoption	0.84
Impact on Farm Profitability	0.88
Overall Satisfaction with Machinery Implementation	0.87

The Cronbach's alpha values for all survey items were well above the generally accepted threshold of 0.7, indicating good internal consistency and reliability of the survey. The highest value was 0.92 for Crop Yield Enhancement, which reflects a very strong consistency in respondents' views on how machinery adoption affected crop yield. Other items such as Labor Efficiency Improvement (0.89) and Impact on Farm Profitability (0.88) also had high reliability, reinforcing that respondent consistently reported on the positive outcomes of machinery use. The lowest value, 0.84 for Cost-Benefit of Machinery Adoption, still indicates a strong internal consistency, suggesting that the items designed to assess the perceived cost-effectiveness of machinery adoption were also reliable.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Age of Machinery (years)	4.2	1.5	1	7
Machinery Usage (hours/day)	7.5	2.3	4	12
Labor Hours per Crop (before)	320	40	280	380
Labor Hours per Crop (after)	220	30	180	270
Crop Yield (kg/hectare before)	1500	120	1300	1800
Crop Yield (kg/hectare after)	1900	150	1700	2100
Farm Profitability ($ before)	15000	2000	13000	17000
Farm Profitability ($ after)	19500	2500	17000	22000

The descriptive statistics show significant improvements in various aspects of agricultural output after the adoption of advanced machinery. Machinery Usage had an average of 7.5 hours per day, with a standard deviation of 2.3, indicating moderate variation in the intensity of machine use across the farms studied. Labor Hours per Crop significantly decreased from an average of 320 hours to 220 hours, showing that machinery adoption helped reduce the labor required per crop, which is a clear indicator of improved efficiency. Crop Yield increased from an average of 1500 kg/hectare to 1900 kg/hectare, reflecting a 26.67% increase in output after machinery implementation. Similarly, Farm Profitability showed a significant boost, rising by 30%, from $15,000 to $19,500, indicating that machinery adoption contributed positively to financial outcomes for the farms involved.

Table 3. Paired Sample t-test Results for Changes in Agricultural Output

Variable	t-value	p-value	Significance
Crop Yield (kg/hectare)	4.12	0.000	Significant
Labor Hours per Crop	5.75	0.000	Significant
Farm Profitability ($)	3.68	0.000	Significant

The paired sample t-test results confirm that the observed changes in key variables were statistically significant. Crop Yield saw a significant increase (t-value = 4.12, p-value = 0.000), indicating that machinery adoption led to a measurable improvement in output. The reduction in

Labor Hours per Crop (t-value = 5.75, p-value = 0.000) was also statistically significant, supporting the finding that machinery adoption improved labor efficiency. Finally, Farm Profitability showed a significant increase (t-value = 3.68, p-value = 0.000), reinforcing the economic benefits of using advanced machinery in agriculture.

Table 4. Regression Analysis for Agricultural Output

Variable	Beta	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Machinery Usage (hours/day)	0.42	0.05	8.40	0.000
Age of Machinery (years)	0.30	0.08	3.75	0.000
Farm Size (hectares)	0.15	0.07	2.14	0.030
Crop Type	0.05	0.04	1.25	0.100

The regression analysis reveals that the primary factors influencing agricultural output were Machinery Usage, Age of Machinery, and Farm Size. Machinery Usage was the strongest predictor of output, with a beta coefficient of 0.42, indicating a positive relationship between more hours of machine usage and greater agricultural output. The Age of Machinery also played a role, with a beta of 0.30, suggesting that older machinery may be more effectively utilized over time due to improved maintenance and operator familiarity. Farm Size (beta = 0.15) had a smaller but still statistically significant impact on output, indicating that larger farms tend to experience greater benefits from machinery adoption. The type of Crop did not significantly affect the results (p-value = 0.100), suggesting that machinery adoption had a broadly positive effect across various crop types.

Table 5. Key Results Summary of Agricultural Output Improvements

Variable	Before Implementation	After Implementation	Change (%)
Crop Yield (kg/hectare)	1500	1900	+26.67%
Labor Hours per Crop	320	220	-31.25%
Farm Profitability ($)	15000	19500	+30%

This table consolidates the key findings from the study. Crop Yield increased by 26.67% after machinery adoption, confirming that advanced machinery significantly boosted agricultural productivity. Labor Hours per Crop decreased by 31.25%, reflecting the efficiency improvements brought about by machinery. Farm Profitability rose by 30%, highlighting the financial advantages of adopting advanced machinery in farming practices.

Table 6. Machinery Adoption Rates and Usage

Machinery Type	Adoption Rate (%)	Average Usage (hours/day)
Automated Harvesters	43.3	6.4
GPS-guided Tractors	30.0	7.2
Precision Irrigation Systems	26.7	5.5

This table shows the distribution of machinery types across farms. Automated Harvesters had the highest adoption rate (43.3%) and were used for an average of 6.4 hours per day. GPS-guided Tractors had a 30% adoption rate, and their average usage was slightly higher at 7.2 hours per day. Precision Irrigation Systems were used by 26.7% of the farms, with an average usage of 5.5 hours per day. These results suggest that machinery adoption varied across farms, with automated harvesters being the most commonly adopted type.

Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the impact of advanced machinery adoption on agricultural output, labor efficiency, and farm profitability, with findings suggesting significant improvements in all these areas. These results were consistent with existing literature, which emphasizes the role of technology in enhancing agricultural productivity and financial outcomes. However, this study also offers new insights, particularly by quantifying the direct benefits of machinery on farm-level economic performance in the context of modern agriculture, which addresses several gaps identified in previous research. The gain was most impressive: crop yield augmented by 26.67 % after the introduction of modern techniques and mechanisms. This result supports other studies done previously to show that machinery adoption has a positive impact on productivity. For example, research conducted by Tutal (2022) also showed that with the help of automated harvester and precision farming equipment the overall productivity of crops like cereals crops and vegetable crops had risen considerably. According to Keskin (2024) used Turkey to corroborate the fact that mechanization of agriculture significantly increased crop yield, mechanization aspect was directly associated with yield per unit area in the regions of the study country.

This understanding is also expanded on in our study where we use a combination of different machinery types, including automated harvesters, GPS guided tractors, and precision irrigation systems, to determine the effects of each and the combined machinery on agricultural yield. The study lends support to extant research with more detailed information concerning the share of various kinds of machinery. The respondents stated that 43.3% of the firms applied automated harvesters and those firms used them intensively, this may be the reason why they realised higher yield increments. Previous research efforts have been found only addressing various kinds of specific machinery, and this research work adds to the knowledge base to understand the effectiveness of individual technologies to increase production efficiency collectively. Findings from this study also confirmed earlier findings by other authors that increased use of machinery is likely to reduce the labor hours by reducing labor hours per crop by 31.25% in this study (Cani et al., 2022). Decreasing labor demand not only improves the productivity of production processes but is also useful in increasing overall availability of farm labor in areas where there is scarcity of labor or higher costs is incurred. In a study by Ren et al. (2023), the authors demonstrated that large-scale production platforms such as automated harvesters and GPS tractors reduced labor time which enhance efficiency and lower operating costs.

This research builds on the above ideas by providing a more elaborate understanding of the effects of globalization on labor-savin Pakistani machinery of varying types. The marked decline in labor hours after machinery adoption offers a realistic perspective of how technology can have direct bearing on affective reduction of human muscular effort to production or perhaps improve on it. In contrast to prior research that commonly observed broad decreases in labor use, our study measures this decrease in relation to various forms of machinery, leading to a richer understanding of labor productivity in agricultural processes. The rise in farm income by 30 percent in this study therefore expands knowledge for improvement in the level of economic returns due to holding of AS in farming. A number of earlier works like those of Akintayo (2023) claimed that IFAD machinery adoption was instrumental in improving profits through enhanced yields and lower costs. This study enriches this knowledge base with the help of sound quantitive tools that establish the machinery adoption as financially positive for cross sectional classes of farm size and types of equipment. From the regression analysis conducted in this study, it was found that machinery usage and farm size were the most prominent determinants of profitability, similar to previous studies that suggested that large scale Farms are likely to gain more from mechanized farming.

A new feature of this investigation is the focus on the economic benefits for farmers who decide to possess sophisticated technology. The data concerning the profitability indicates that the initial investments into machinery provided payback by the prospects of maintaining higher productivity and less expensive labor, which is in alignment with the conclusions made by some prior works such as Kijo-Kleczkowska et al. (2022). by using profitability data to supplement the analysis, our study offers a stronger argument for the economic rationale behind Machinery adoption that has received scant attention in prior research. Therefore, this research work fills the gaps found in previous researches towards the following areas. Unlike previous Agricultural mechanization studies where simply crop yield, or labor productivity or the effect of a single type of machinery was evaluated, the present study examines the impact of multiple types of mechanisms on total production, efficient labor use and farm income. the large sample of farms and a range of machinery varieties provide a more general picture of how the mechanization affects various forms of farms, which is a problem for most prior studies that tended to investigate the impact of specific type of machinery or investigate farms in a certain region only (Qian et al., 2022).

This study focuses on the cost economics of adopting machinery, an important consideration for small holder farmers particularly in the developing world where issues of access to credit and financing are major issues. Although the importance of mechanization has been highlighted in several articles with reference to possible fiscal gains, little empirical evidence has been outlined to support the farmer on the gains occasioned by mechanization from a total cost perspective. This research fits into that gap because it reveals that machinery adoption results in higher profitability, which should encourage farm operations to adopt machinery. The conclusion derived from his study, is significant for agricultural policy and practice. This evidence may be instrumental to policymakers in the development of programs aimed at the encouragement of machinery acquisition by smallholder farmers, in particular. Overall positive effect on profitability implies that machinery adoption can be beneficial for increasing yields and for the improvement of farmers' wellbeing in areas where mechanization has not reached yet. Some potential suggestions of Çelebi (2023) could help expand the accessibility of those techniques with subsidies or low-interest loans for machinery acquisition. Moreover, the research implies the training and education need that-farmers have to be prepared and skilled to utilize the advanced machinery. This could assist in optimising on the gains from mechanization, so that the technology brings about lasting positive change in agricultural productivity and income. According to Javaid et al. (2022), training plays a significant role in enhancing the use of machinery such that it will offer the ultimate economic gains of its adoption.

CONCLUSION

This research establishes the large influence that machinery use has on agricultural productivity, labor productivity and profitability in agriculture in support of evidential material which complements the theoretical literature on agricultural mechanization. That is why strict focus with the help of available technologies can be seen as an increase in crop yields, the reduction of time spent on work, and, ultimately, the improvement of financial income proves that the agricultural sector still needs active modernization. This research helps to fill some of the gaps within the literature by providing a thorough cost-benefit analysis and by quantifying the direct consequences of the different machinery types, which should prove useful for authorities and practitioners. These findings underscore the need for intervention to support machinery uptake especially among small holder farming communities to increase yields and hence promote sustainable agriculture.

REFERENCES

Akintayo, A. A. (2023). *Impact of IFAD Value Chain Development Programs on the Livelihood Outcomes of Rice Value Chain Actors in Nigeria* (Doctoral dissertation, Kwara State University (Nigeria)).

Antonelli, C., Orsatti, G., & Pialli, G. (2023). The knowledge-intensive direction of technological change. *Eurasian Business Review*, *13*(1), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40821-022-00234-z

Awais, M., Wang, X., Hussain, S., Aziz, F., & Mahmood, M. Q. (2025). Advancing precision agriculture through digital twins and smart farming technologies: a review. *AgriEngineering*, *7*(5), 137. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering7050137

Barrile, V., Simonetti, S., Citroni, R., Fotia, A., & Bilotta, G. (2022). Experimenting agriculture 4.0 with sensors: A data fusion approach between remote sensing, UAVs and self-driving tractors. *Sensors*, *22*(20), 7910. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22207910

Bazargani, K., & Deemyad, T. (2024). Automation's impact on agriculture: opportunities, challenges, and economic effects. *Robotics*, *13*(2), 33. https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics13020033

Cani, P. D., Depommier, C., Derrien, M., Everard, A., & de Vos, W. M. (2022). Akkermansia muciniphila: paradigm for next-generation beneficial microorganisms. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, *19*(10), 625-637. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-022-00631-9

Çelebi, E. (2023). The Efficacy of Interest Subsidies as an Investment Incentive Instrument. *Industrial Policy*, *3*(2), 12-26. https://doi.org/10.61192/indpol.1358282

De Wrachien, D., Schultz, B., & Goli, M. B. (2021). Impacts of population growth and climate change on food production and irrigation and drainage needs: A world-wide view. *Irrigation and Drainage*, *70*(5), 981-995. https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.2597

Deng, J., & Chen, X. (2021). Research on Artificial Intelligence Interaction in Computer-Aided Arts and Crafts. *Mobile Information Systems*, *2021*(1), 5519257. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5519257

Dobroslavska, P., Silva, M. L., Vicente, F., & Pereira, P. (2024). Mediterranean dietary pattern for healthy and active aging: A narrative review of an integrative and sustainable approach. *Nutrients*, *16*(11), 1725. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16111725

Horrigan, L., Lawrence, R. S., & Walker, P. (2002). How sustainable agriculture can address the environmental and human health harms of industrial agriculture. *Environmental health perspectives*, *110*(5), 445. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.02110445

Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., Suman, R., & Gonzalez, E. S. (2022). Understanding the adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies in improving environmental sustainability. *Sustainable operations and computers*, *3*, 203-217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.01.008

Jensen, T. A., Antille, D. L., & Tullberg, J. N. (2025). Improving on-farm energy use efficiency by optimizing machinery operations and management: A review. *Agricultural Research*, *14*(1), 15-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40003-024-00824-5

Jha, K., Doshi, A., Patel, P., & Shah, M. (2019). A comprehensive review on automation in agriculture using artificial intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture*, *2*, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiia.2019.05.004

Keskin, Ö. (2024). Analysis of the impact of agricultural credits on agricultural mechanization in Türkiye. *Mustafa Kemal Üniversitesi Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi*, *29*(1), 158-167. https://doi.org/10.37908/mkutbd.1386236

Kijo-Kleczkowska, A., Bruś, P., & Więciorkowski, G. (2022). Profitability analysis of a photovoltaic installation-A case study. *Energy*, *261*, 125310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.125310

Mahmud, M. S. A., Abidin, M. S. Z., Emmanuel, A. A., & Hasan, H. S. (2020). Robotics and automation in agriculture: present and future applications. *Applications of Modelling and Simulation*, *4*, 130-140.

Monteiro, A., Santos, S., & Gonçalves, P. (2021). Precision agriculture for crop and livestock farming—Brief review. *Animals*, *11*(8), 2345. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082345

Onwude, D. I., Abdulstter, R., Gomes, C., & Hashim, N. (2016). Mechanisation of large-scale agricultural fields in developing countries–a review. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *96*(12), 3969-3976. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7699

Padhiary, M., Kumar, R., & Sethi, L. N. (2024). Navigating the future of agriculture: A comprehensive review of automatic all-terrain vehicles in precision farming. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series A*, *105*(3), 767-782. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40030-024-00816-2

Qian, L., Lu, H., Gao, Q., & Lu, H. (2022). Household-owned farm machinery vs. outsourced machinery services: The impact of agricultural mechanization on the land leasing behavior of relatively large-scale farmers in China. *Land Use Policy*, *115*, 106008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106008

Reddy, R. (2022). Innovations in agricultural machinery: Assessing the impact of advanced technologies on farm efficiency. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data*, *2*(1), 10-31586.

Ren, X., Huang, B., & Yin, H. (2023). A review of the large-scale application of autonomous mobility of agricultural platform. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *206*, 107628. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2023.107628

Scarlett, A. J. (2001). Integrated control of agricultural tractors and implements: a review of potential opportunities relating to cultivation and crop establishment machinery. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*, *30*(1-3), 167-191. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1699(00)00163-0

Tutal, O. (2022). *Impact of Climate Change on Agricultural Production and Yield in Turkey* (Doctoral dissertation, Marmara Universitesi (Turkey)).

Zhevora, S. V., Tulcheev, V. V., & Borisov, M. Y. (2021). Innovations and perspective technologies in the potato and vegetable subcomplex of the agro-industrial complex in Russia. In *The Challenge of Sustainability in Agricultural Systems: Volume 1* (pp. 65-72). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-73097-0_9