

## Assessing the Impact of Digital Transformation on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) Performance in Indonesia: A Quantitative Analysis of Productivity, Profitability, and Innovation

Andi Pratama<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

### ARTICLE INFO

**Received: 13 April 2025**  
**Revised: 27 April 2025**  
**Accepted: 25 Mei 2025**  
**Available online: 27 Mei 2025**

#### Keywords:

Digital Transformation  
Small and Medium Enterprises  
Productivity

**Corresponding Author:**  
Andi Pratama

Email:

[andi.pratama@ugm.ac.id](mailto:andi.pratama@ugm.ac.id)

Copyright © 2025, Journal of Ecotrends and Management, Under the license [CC BY- SA 4.0](#)



### ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** This study aims to examine the impact of digital transformation on the performance of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Indonesia, with particular emphasis on productivity, profitability, and innovation outcomes. The research seeks to provide empirical evidence on how the adoption and integration of digital technologies contribute to enhancing SME competitiveness in an increasingly digital economy.

**Subjects and Methods:** A quantitative research design was employed using a structured survey distributed to 285 SME owners and managers across various industries and regions in Indonesia. Data were analyzed using statistical techniques to assess the relationship between digital transformation practices such as the adoption of e-commerce platforms, cloud computing, and big data analytics and key performance indicators.

**Results:** The findings indicate that digital transformation has a significant and positive effect on SME performance. The adoption of digital tools improves operational efficiency, leading to higher productivity levels. Furthermore, digital integration enhances market reach and cost management, thereby increasing profitability. The results also show that digital technologies stimulate innovation by enabling SMEs to develop new products, services, and business models. These findings highlight that effective digital transformation requires not only technological adoption but also strategic alignment with organizational processes.

**Conclusions:** Digital transformation plays a critical role in strengthening SME performance in Indonesia. Strategic integration of digital technologies enhances productivity, profitability, and innovation, providing both managerial and policy implications for sustaining SME competitiveness.

### INTRODUCTION

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are a critical component of the Indonesian economy, contributing significantly to employment, economic growth, and social development. According to the Ministry of Cooperatives and SMEs (2020), SMEs make up over 99% of all businesses in Indonesia, employing approximately 97% of the workforce and contributing around 60% to the national GDP. However, despite their importance, many SMEs face challenges in enhancing their productivity and profitability, particularly in an increasingly competitive and digitalized global marketplace.

In recent years, digital transformation has emerged as a crucial driver of business performance. The adoption of digital technologies, such as e-commerce platforms, cloud computing, and big data analytics, offers SMEs the potential to streamline operations, reach new markets, and improve customer engagement. For Indonesian SMEs, digital transformation presents an opportunity to overcome barriers to growth, such as limited access to capital, outdated business models, and insufficient skilled labor (Teece, 2018). However, the extent to which digital transformation can influence SME performance, particularly in terms of productivity, profitability, and innovation, remains underexplored, particularly in the context of Indonesia.

This study aims to fill this gap by examining the impact of digital transformation on the performance of SMEs in Indonesia. Specifically, it investigates how the adoption of digital tools and strategies influences SMEs' productivity, profitability, and innovation outcomes. Given the rapid digitalization occurring across industries, understanding the effects of digital transformation on business performance is crucial for both practitioners and policymakers aiming to foster an ecosystem that supports SME growth and sustainability.

### **Research Problem**

SMEs in Indonesia face a variety of challenges in adopting digital technologies, including limited technological infrastructure, financial constraints, and resistance to change among key stakeholders (Sarkar & Costa, 2019). These barriers often prevent SMEs from fully realizing the benefits of digitalization, hindering their ability to improve performance. While previous studies have explored the benefits of digital transformation in large enterprises, there is a lack of empirical research focused on SMEs, particularly in the Indonesian context. This research seeks to investigate whether digital transformation can enhance SME performance, with a focus on productivity, profitability, and innovation outcomes.

### **Research Objectives**

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the impact of digital transformation on the performance of SMEs in Indonesia. The specific objectives of the research are as follows: (1) To analyze the effect of digital transformation on SMEs' productivity – Investigating how digital tools, such as automation, digital marketing, and e-commerce, influence operational efficiency and productivity; (2) To assess the impact of digital transformation on the profitability of SMEs Exploring the relationship between digitalization and financial outcomes such as revenue growth, cost reduction, and profitability margins; (3) To examine the role of digital transformation in fostering innovation within SMEs – Understanding how digital tools contribute to product and process innovations that enhance competitiveness and market positioning.

### **Significance of the Study**

This study is significant in several ways. First, it contributes to the academic literature on digital transformation by providing empirical evidence from the SME sector in Indonesia, a context that has been largely underrepresented in prior research. Second, the findings of this study have practical implications for SME managers, who can use the results to develop strategies that harness digital technologies to improve business performance. Third, the research will inform policymakers on the potential benefits and challenges associated with promoting digital transformation in the SME sector. By understanding these dynamics, policymakers can design initiatives and support mechanisms to facilitate the adoption of digital tools and foster SME growth in the digital economy (Chen et al., 2020).

## **METHODOLOGY**

This chapter outlines the research design, data collection methods, and analysis techniques used in this study to investigate the impact of digital transformation on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) performance in Indonesia. A quantitative research approach was employed to provide empirical evidence regarding the relationship between digital transformation and key performance indicators, including productivity, profitability, and innovation.

### **Research Design**

A descriptive-correlational research design was adopted to examine the impact of digital transformation on SME performance. This design enabled the researcher to explore and quantify the relationships between digital transformation and SME performance metrics. The study sought to assess how the adoption of digital technologies influenced the productivity, profitability, and innovation capabilities of SMEs in Indonesia. A cross-sectional survey design was chosen for data collection. This approach allowed the researcher to gather data at a specific point in time, providing insights into the current state of digital transformation and its associated outcomes among Indonesian SMEs. The quantitative design was preferred as it allowed for the statistical analysis of the relationship between digital transformation and SME performance.

### **Population and Sample**

The population for this study consisted of SMEs operating in Indonesia across various industries, including manufacturing, retail, services, and agriculture. According to the latest data from the Ministry of Cooperatives and SMEs (2020), there are approximately 64 million SMEs in Indonesia, with varying levels of digital adoption. Since it was impractical to survey the entire population, a representative sample was selected for the study. A stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure that SMEs from different industries and regions were included. The strata were based on the industry type and geographic location (i.e., urban versus rural). A total of 300 SMEs were selected from different provinces across Indonesia. This sample size was deemed sufficient for reliable statistical analysis, based on a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of 5%.

### **Data Collection Method**

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire, which was developed based on the research objectives and the literature review. The questionnaire was designed to measure both independent and dependent variables. The independent variable, digital transformation, was assessed through questions related to the adoption of digital tools (e.g., e-commerce, cloud computing, big data analytics), digital skills of employees, and the extent to which digital technologies were integrated into the business processes. The dependent variables, productivity, profitability and innovation, were measured using established scales adapted from previous studies (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014; Kafetzopoulos & Psomas, 2015; Saunila et al., 2014). The questionnaire consisted of three sections: (1) Demographic Information: This section collected basic information about the respondents, such as industry, company size, and location; (2) Digital Transformation: This section included questions about the digital tools adopted by the SME, digital training provided to employees, and the extent of digital integration into daily operations; (3) Performance Indicators: This section included questions to measure productivity (e.g., output per labor hour), profitability (e.g., profit margins, revenue growth), and innovation (e.g., new products/services, process improvements). The survey was distributed to SME owners, managers, and key decision-makers via email and online survey platforms (e.g., Google Forms). A total of 285 usable responses were collected, yielding a response rate of 95%. The data collection process was carried out over a period of two months.

### **Variables and Measurement**

The study focused on both dependent and independent variables that were operationalized as follows: Independent Variable: Digital Transformation (1) Adoption of Digital Tools, the extent to which SMEs adopted technologies such as e-commerce, cloud computing, and big data analytics; (2) Digital Skills, the level of digital competence of employees within the SME; (3) Digital Integration, the degree to which digital technologies were embedded in business processes. Dependent Variables: (1) Productivity, Measured by the ratio of output to input, focusing on operational efficiency (e.g., output per employee, labor productivity); (2) Profitability, Measured by indicators such as profit margins, return on assets (ROA), and revenue growth; (3) Innovation, Measured by the frequency of new product introductions, process innovations, and the use of digital tools to improve business operations; (4) Control Variables, These included firm size (measured by number of employees), industry sector (e.g., manufacturing, retail, service), and geographical location (urban vs. rural), which were controlled for in the statistical analysis.

## Data Analysis Techniques

The data collected were analyzed using various statistical techniques, as follows: (1) Descriptive Statistics, descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency distributions) were used to summarize the demographic characteristics of the sample and the responses to the survey items. This provided an overview of the sample and the level of digital transformation among Indonesian SMEs; (2) Correlation Analysis, Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to examine the strength and direction of the relationships between digital transformation and the three-performance metrics (productivity, profitability, and innovation). This helped to determine the degree to which digital transformation was associated with SME performance; (3) Multiple Regression Analysis: Multiple regression analysis was employed to assess the impact of digital transformation on SME performance, while controlling for potential confounding variables (such as firm size and industry type). This analysis provided insights into the relative importance of different aspects of digital transformation (e.g., digital tool adoption, digital skills, digital integration) in influencing SME performance; (4) Structural Equation Modeling (SEM): To further explore the complex relationships between digital transformation and SME performance, SEM was used. This technique allowed the researcher to test the hypothesized relationships and the overall model fit. It provided a more robust understanding of how different aspects of digital transformation interacted to affect productivity, profitability, and innovation; (5) Reliability and Validity Testing: The reliability of the measurement instruments was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, with a value greater than 0.7 indicating acceptable reliability (Taber, 2018; Ghazali, 2016). Validity was ensured through expert reviews and pre-testing the questionnaire with a small sample of SMEs before the full survey was launched.

## Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical standards in research. All participants were informed of the purpose of the study, and their consent was obtained before they participated. The anonymity and confidentiality of respondents were guaranteed, and the data were stored securely. Participants were informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time without any consequence. Furthermore, the data were used solely for research purposes and would not be shared with third parties without the participants' explicit consent.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Explain This chapter presents the results of the data analysis conducted to assess the impact of digital transformation on the performance of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Indonesia. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, multiple regression analysis, and structural equation modeling (SEM) to examine the relationships between digital transformation and the performance indicators: productivity, profitability, and innovation.

### Descriptive Statistics

The survey respondents consisted of 285 SMEs operating in Indonesia. The sample was distributed across various sectors, including manufacturing (35%), retail (30%), services (25%), and agriculture (10%). In terms of geographical location, 60% of the SMEs were based in urban areas, while 40% were located in rural regions. The majority of respondents (75%) were from firms with fewer than 100 employees, and 25% were from SMEs with 100 or more employees.

Table 1. Below Summarizes the Key Demographic Characteristics of The Sample

Variable	Frequency (%)
<b>Industry</b>	
Manufacturing	35%
Retail	30%
Services	25%
Agriculture	10%
<b>Location</b>	
Urban	60%

Rural	40%
<b>Firm Size (No. of Employees)</b>	
Less than 100 employees	75%
100 or more employees	25%

Regarding digital transformation, the survey revealed that 80% of SMEs had adopted at least one digital tool (e.g., e-commerce platforms, social media marketing, or cloud computing), with cloud computing (50%) and social media marketing (45%) being the most commonly used tools. However, only 35% of SMEs had fully integrated digital technologies into their core business processes, while 45% had limited digital integration, and 20% had no integration.

### Correlation Analysis

The Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to determine the strength and direction of the relationships between digital transformation and the three-performance metrics (productivity, profitability, and innovation). The results of the correlation analysis are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Correlation Analysis

Variable	Productivity	Profitability	Innovation
Digital Transformation	0.602**	0.583**	0.532**
Firm Size	0.221*	0.245*	0.300**
Location (Urban/Rural)	0.134	0.111	0.157

Note:  $p < 0.05$ ,  $p < 0.01$ .

The results indicated that digital transformation had a moderate to strong positive correlation with all three performance indicators. Specifically: (1) Productivity, there was a significant positive correlation between digital transformation and productivity ( $r = 0.602$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). This suggests that SMEs with higher levels of digital adoption and integration experienced increased operational efficiency; (2) Profitability, digital transformation was also positively correlated with profitability ( $r = 0.583$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). SMEs that had adopted digital tools and integrated them into their business operations were more likely to report higher profit margins and revenue growth; (3) Innovation, a moderate positive correlation was found between digital transformation and innovation ( $r = 0.532$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), indicating that SMEs that embraced digital technologies were more likely to innovate in terms of products and processes. Firm size also showed significant positive correlations with productivity, profitability, and innovation, suggesting that larger SMEs might experience more pronounced benefits from digital transformation, likely due to better access to resources and capital. However, location (urban vs. rural) had minimal correlation with performance outcomes, implying that digital transformation's impact is less dependent on geographic location.

### Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis was conducted to assess the influence of digital transformation on SME performance while controlling for firm size, industry sector, and geographical location. Three separate regression models were developed for productivity, profitability, and innovation.

#### Productivity

The regression equation for productivity was significant ( $F(4, 280) = 43.89$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), with digital transformation as the primary predictor.

Digital Transformation:  $\beta = 0.47$ ,  $p < 0.01$

Firm Size:  $\beta = 0.21$ ,  $p < 0.05$

Industry Sector:  $\beta = 0.12$ ,  $p = 0.08$

Location (Urban/Rural):  $\beta = 0.09$ ,  $p = 0.11$

The results indicated that digital transformation was a significant predictor of productivity, with SMEs that had adopted and integrated digital tools showing higher productivity levels. Firm size

also contributed to the model, suggesting that larger SMEs tended to report higher productivity due to more resources and capacity for technological adoption.

### **Profitability**

The regression model for profitability was also significant ( $F(4, 280) = 38.76, p < 0.01$ ).

Digital Transformation:  $\beta = 0.45, p < 0.01$

Firm Size:  $\beta = 0.19, p < 0.05$

Industry Sector:  $\beta = 0.14, p = 0.07$

Location (Urban/Rural):  $\beta = 0.08, p = 0.15$

Similar to the productivity model, digital transformation had a significant positive effect on profitability. Larger firms again showed higher profitability, but the impact of location and industry sector remained relatively small.

### **Innovation**

The regression equation for innovation was significant ( $F(4, 280) = 28.94, p < 0.01$ ).

Digital Transformation:  $\beta = 0.40, p < 0.01$

Firm Size:  $\beta = 0.22, p < 0.05$

Industry Sector:  $\beta = 0.17, p < 0.06$

Location (Urban/Rural):  $\beta = 0.13, p = 0.10$

Digital transformation again emerged as a strong predictor of innovation, with SMEs that had embraced digital tools and processes being more likely to introduce new products and services. Firm size and industry sector had smaller effects on innovation.

### **Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)**

To gain a deeper understanding of the relationships among digital transformation, productivity, profitability, and innovation, a structural equation model (SEM) was developed. The model was assessed for fit using several indices, including the chi-square statistic ( $\chi^2 = 402.56, p < 0.01$ ), Comparative Fit Index (CFI = 0.92), and Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA = 0.05). These indices indicated that the model provided a good fit to the data. The SEM results confirmed that digital transformation had a direct, positive effect on all three-performance metrics (productivity, profitability, and innovation). The path coefficients for these relationships were as follows:

Digital Transformation → Productivity:  $\beta = 0.56, p < 0.01$

Digital Transformation → Profitability:  $\beta = 0.53, p < 0.01$

Digital Transformation → Innovation:  $\beta = 0.49, p < 0.01$

This suggests that digital transformation not only has a direct positive impact on performance indicators but also enhances the capacity of SMEs to innovate, which, in turn, contributes to their profitability and productivity. This chapter provides a detailed discussion of the findings from the data analysis and links these results back to the research questions, objectives, and the existing literature. The purpose of this study was to assess the impact of digital transformation on the performance of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Indonesia, with a particular focus on productivity, profitability, and innovation. Based on the results of the quantitative analysis, this section explores the implications of the findings for theory, practice, and policy in the context of Indonesian SMEs, while addressing the research problem and questions.

### **Digital Transformation and SME Productivity**

The results from the correlation and regression analyses indicate that digital transformation has a significant positive impact on SME productivity in Indonesia. Specifically, SMEs that adopted and integrated digital tools such as e-commerce, cloud computing, and big data analytics reported higher levels of operational efficiency and labor productivity. These findings are consistent with

prior research suggesting that digital technologies help businesses automate processes, reduce inefficiencies, and improve overall output per unit of input (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014; Kokina & Blanchette, 2019; Blahušiaková, 2023).

One of the key aspects of digital transformation that contributed to increased productivity in this study was the adoption of cloud-based tools and social media platforms. Cloud computing, which allows businesses to access software and storage remotely, can reduce operational costs and facilitate smoother workflows (Rosenthal et al., 2010). Similarly, the use of social media for marketing and customer engagement has allowed SMEs to reach broader markets without the need for significant physical infrastructure, thereby increasing productivity without a proportional increase in costs (Chong et al., 2020). This suggests that the digital tools available today can level the playing field for SMEs by reducing the barriers to entry typically associated with large-scale operations.

The findings also underscore the importance of digital integration in achieving higher productivity. SMEs that had fully integrated digital technologies into their business operations, as opposed to those that adopted digital tools in isolation, showed stronger improvements in productivity. This supports the argument by Teece (2018), who emphasized that digital transformation is not just about adopting new technologies but about embedding them into the core processes of the business to maximize their potential.

### **Digital Transformation and SME Profitability**

In terms of profitability, the study found that digital transformation was positively correlated with higher profit margins and revenue growth. The regression analysis further confirmed that SMEs that embraced digital tools, particularly those that integrated these technologies into their operations, were more likely to report improvements in profitability. This aligns with findings from previous studies that have linked digital transformation with enhanced financial outcomes (Hess et al., 2016; Valaskova et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2024; Nasiri et al., 2022).

One possible explanation for this relationship is that digital tools facilitate better decision-making through data analytics and real-time information, leading to more effective cost management and resource allocation. For example, SMEs using cloud computing and big data analytics can track their expenses, customer behaviors, and supply chain activities more effectively, enabling them to make informed decisions that improve both operational efficiency and financial outcomes (Fitzgerald et al., 2014). Furthermore, digital marketing tools allow SMEs to target specific customer segments, which can lead to more effective marketing strategies and higher conversion rates, ultimately improving profitability (Bharadwaj et al., 2013).

Moreover, the study's findings suggest that firm size is an important factor in determining the extent to which digital transformation can influence profitability. Larger SMEs, which tend to have more financial and human resources, were able to invest in more advanced digital tools and fully integrate them into their operations. This is consistent with the research by Frolova & Dombrovskis (2020), who argued that larger firms have better access to capital and are more likely to reap the benefits of digital transformation.

### **Digital Transformation and SME Innovation**

The relationship between digital transformation and innovation was also found to be positive, with SMEs that integrated digital tools into their operations reporting higher levels of innovation in product and process development. This finding is consistent with the literature on digital transformation, which suggests that digital technologies enable firms to innovate more rapidly and flexibly. For example, the adoption of cloud computing and big data analytics allows businesses to experiment with new products and services, analyze market trends, and respond more quickly to customer needs (Teece, 2018). Similarly, social media platforms provide SMEs with real-time feedback from customers, which can be used to refine products or services and foster continuous innovation (Kayyali, 2024; Isibor et al., 2021).

The positive relationship between digital transformation and innovation in this study also supports the view that digital tools can drive incremental as well as radical innovation. In the context of Indonesian SMEs, many of the respondents reported using digital platforms to

introduce new products or services and improve existing processes. This aligns with the research of Buss & Foley (2020), who found that digitalization allows SMEs to improve both product quality and production efficiency, thus enhancing their competitive advantage in the market. Furthermore, digital transformation facilitated collaborative innovation for many SMEs, particularly those in the manufacturing and services sectors. By leveraging digital platforms for collaboration with external partners, SMEs were able to co-create new value propositions and enter new markets, which contributed to their innovative capabilities (Abbate et al., 2022; Ashraf, 2025).

### **Implications for the Research Questions**

This study was designed to address the following research questions:

#### ***How does digital transformation influence the productivity of SMEs in Indonesia?***

The findings of this study indicate that digital transformation has a strong positive effect on SME productivity, with the adoption of digital tools such as cloud computing and social media contributing to greater operational efficiency and output per labor hour. These results confirm the hypothesis that digital technologies can enhance productivity, as supported by prior research (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014).

#### ***What is the relationship between digital transformation and profitability in SMEs?***

The study found that SMEs that adopted and integrated digital tools experienced higher profitability, corroborating the view that digital transformation can lead to improved financial outcomes through better decision-making, cost savings, and enhanced marketing strategies (Fitzgerald et al., 2014). This aligns with the broader literature, which shows that digital tools help firms streamline operations and increase their revenue-generating potential (Ahmed & Islam, 2024).

#### ***To what extent does digital transformation contribute to innovation within SMEs in Indonesia?***

The results confirm that digital transformation significantly contributes to innovation. SMEs that leveraged digital tools reported higher levels of product and process innovation. This finding is consistent with the idea that digital technologies enable firms to develop new ideas, test innovations more quickly, and gain feedback from customers in real time, all of which foster a more innovative business environment (Teece, 2018).

### **Implications for Practice and Policy**

The findings of this study offer several important implications for SME managers and policymakers in Indonesia:

#### ***For SME Managers***

The study highlights the importance of adopting and fully integrating digital technologies to improve productivity, profitability, and innovation. Managers should focus not only on digital adoption but also on ensuring that digital tools are embedded within the business's core processes. Training and development programs for employees in digital skills should also be a priority to ensure that SMEs can fully capitalize on digital transformation.

#### ***For Policymakers***

Policymakers should continue to create an enabling environment for digital transformation among SMEs, particularly by providing access to affordable technology, digital infrastructure, and financial support. Government initiatives aimed at improving digital literacy and supporting innovation hubs could help SMEs overcome barriers to digital adoption and foster greater entrepreneurial activity.

### **Limitations and Future Research**

While this study provides valuable insights into the impact of digital transformation on SME performance, there are several limitations. The cross-sectional design of the study means that causal inferences cannot be made. Future research could use longitudinal studies to examine the long-term effects of digital transformation on SME performance. Additionally, this study focused on SMEs in Indonesia; future research could extend the investigation to SMEs in other emerging economies to compare the impact of digital transformation across different cultural and economic contexts.

## CONCLUSION

This study contributes to the growing body of literature on digital transformation by providing empirical evidence of its impact on the performance of SMEs in Indonesia. The findings suggest that digital tools enhance productivity, profitability, and innovation, offering a significant opportunity for SMEs to compete in the increasingly digital global economy. The results also highlight the importance of not only adopting digital technologies but also integrating them into core business processes to realize their full potential.

## REFERENCES

- Abbate, T., Codini, A., Aquilani, B., & Vrontis, D. (2022). From knowledge ecosystems to capabilities ecosystems: When open innovation digital platforms lead to value co-creation. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 13(1), 290-304. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00720-1>
- Ahmed, I., & Islam, M. T. (2024). Quantitative Impact Assessment of Digital Payment Solutions on Small Business Revenue Panel Data Analysis From 1,200 US SMES. *American Journal of Scholarly Research and Innovation*, 3(02), 217-253. <https://doi.org/10.63125/zy98jx29>
- Ashraf, S. (2025). Unlocking Innovation in Pakistani SMEs: How Coopetition Drives Value Co-Creation through Knowledge Sharing and Absorptive Capacity. *International Journal of Business and Management Sciences*, 6(04), 94-111.
- Bharadwaj, A., El Sawy, O. A., Pavlou, P. A., & Venkatraman, N. V. (2013). Digital business strategy: toward a next generation of insights. *MIS quarterly*, 37(2), 471-482. <https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2013/37:2.3>
- Blahušiaková, M. (2023). Business process automation—new challenges to increasing the efficiency and competitiveness of companies. *Strategic Management-International Journal of Strategic Management and Decision Support Systems in Strategic Management*, 28(3). <https://doi.org/10.5937/StraMan2300038B>
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work progress and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. London: WW Norton & company.
- Buss, D. M., & Foley, P. (2020). Mating and marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 120, 492-497. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.01.034>
- Chen, J., Zhang, M., & Xu, H. (2020). The role of digital transformation in enhancing the competitiveness of SMEs in emerging markets. *Journal of Business Research*, 110, 142-157.
- Chong, A. Y. L., Ch'ng, E. A. L., & Xie, X. (2020). Digital Transformation in Small and Medium Enterprises: A Review. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 228, 107679.
- Fitzgerald, M., Kruschwitz, N., Bonnet, D., & Welch, M. (2014). Embracing digital technology: A new strategic imperative. *MIT sloan management review*, 55(2), 1. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2423776>
- Frolova, E. V., & Dombrovskis, V. (2020). "Digital Transformation and its Impact on Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises." *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 161, 120254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120254>

- Ghazali, N. H. M. (2016). A Reliability and Validity of an Instrument to Evaluate the School-Based Assessment System: A Pilot Study. *International journal of evaluation and research in education*, 5(2), 148-157.
- Hess, T., Benlian, A., & O'Brien, D. (2016). Opportunities and Risks of Digital Transformation for Business and Society. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, 15(3), 121-130.
- Isibor, N. J., Ewim, C. P. M., Ibeh, A. I., Adaga, E. M., Sam-Bulya, N. J., & Achumie, G. O. (2021). A generalizable social media utilization framework for entrepreneurs: Enhancing digital branding, customer engagement, and growth. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 2(1), 751-758. <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMRGE.2021.2.1.751-758>
- Kafetzopoulos, D., & Psomas, E. (2015). The impact of innovation capability on the performance of manufacturing companies: The Greek case. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 26(1), 104-130. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-12-2012-0117>
- Kayyali, M. (2024). The role of social media in business process innovation. *Trends in Business Process Modeling and Digital Marketing: Case Studies and Emerging Technologies*, 116-135. <https://doi.org/10.2174/97898152747071240101>
- Kokina, J., & Blanchette, S. (2019). Early evidence of digital labor in accounting: Innovation with Robotic Process Automation. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 35, 100431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2019.100431>
- Nasiri, M., Saunila, M., & Ukko, J. (2022). Digital orientation, digital maturity, and digital intensity: determinants of financial success in digital transformation settings. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 42(13), 274-298. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-09-2021-0616>
- Rosenthal, A., Mork, P., Li, M. H., Stanford, J., Koester, D., & Reynolds, P. (2010). Cloud computing: a new business paradigm for biomedical information sharing. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 43(2), 342-353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2009.08.014>
- Sarkar, S., & Costa, P. (2019). Digital transformation and innovation in small and medium enterprises: A critical review of the literature. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 26(1), 25-45.
- Saunila, M., Pekkola, S., & Ukko, J. (2014). The relationship between innovation capability and performance: The moderating effect of measurement. *International Journal of Productivity and performance management*, 63(2), 234-249. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-04-2013-0065>
- Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of Cronbach's alpha when developing and reporting research instruments in science education. *Research in science education*, 48(6), 1273-1296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2>
- Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long range planning*, 51(1), 40-49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2017.06.007>
- Valaskova, K., Nagy, M., & Juracka, D. (2025). Digital transformation and financial performance: an empirical analysis of strategic alignment in the digital age. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, 19(5), 1178-1205. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEC-11-2024-0241>
- Wang, F., Jia, Y., Li, G., Lam, M., & Liu, Y. (2024). An empirical study of the relationship between digital transformation, corporate social responsibility and financial performance. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 8(1), 57-73. [https://doi.org/10.61093/bel.8\(1\).57-73.2024](https://doi.org/10.61093/bel.8(1).57-73.2024)