Language Inquiry & Exploration Review

e-ISSN 3062-7702 (online)

Volume 1, Issue 2 2024
Page 36-45

https://doi.org/xxxxxx

# Reimagining Language Acquisition in the Age of Artificial Intelligence through Sociolinguistic and Semiotic Perspectives

**Amira Dwiputri**[1]

[1]Applied Linguistics, State University of Medan, Indonesia

**ARTICLE INFO**

**Received: 14 August 2024**
**Revised: 11 October 2024**
**Accepted: 10 December 2024**
**Available online: 16 December 2024**

**Keywords:**
Artificial Intelligence
Language Acquisition
Sociolinguistics
Semiotics

**Corresponding Author:**
Amira Dwiputri

Email:
amiradwiputri@yahoo.com



ABSTRACT

***Purpose**: This study explores the transformative impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on language acquisition, focusing on the integration of AI tools in language learning through sociolinguistic and semiotic perspectives. The aim is to assess AI's influence on learner engagement, teacher-student rapport, linguistic diversity, and cultural representation.*

***Subjects and Methods:** A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative surveys and experiments with qualitative sociolinguistic and semiotic analyses. Surveys measured the effectiveness of AI tools in language learning, while content analysis and interviews provided deeper insights into cultural and contextual cues embedded in AI-generated texts.*

***Results:** The results demonstrate that AI tools significantly enhance learner engagement and communication willingness, offering personalized and interactive learning experiences. However, challenges regarding linguistic diversity and bias in AI models remain, with gaps in representing regional dialects and non-standard language forms. Semiotic analysis revealed that AI still struggles to incorporate cultural and contextual nuances, which are essential for meaningful communication. Additionally, biases in AI models, including gender and racial bias, were detected, emphasizing the need for diversified training data and bias mitigation strategies. AI's role in shaping language change was also noted, with AI tools influencing the emergence of new linguistic forms and expressions.*

***Conclusions:** AI has the potential to revolutionize language acquisition, but its development must address challenges related to linguistic diversity, bias, and cultural representation. The study advocates for a more inclusive and contextually sensitive approach to AI integration in language education to ensure equitable, meaningful, and diverse learning outcomes.*

## INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving landscape of education and communication, language acquisition has always been a focal point of research and innovation (Salfin et al., 2024; Nunan, 2022). From the earliest studies in linguistics to modern pedagogical advancements, the process by which individuals learn and acquire language has intrigued scholars across various disciplines. However, as we enter the age of Artificial Intelligence (AI), the dynamics of language acquisition are undergoing significant transformations. The introduction of AI technologies ranging from language models like ChatGPT to advanced speech recognition systems has redefined traditional methods of learning, teaching, and interacting with language (Topsakal & Topsakal, 2022; Solak, 2024; Bhattacharya et al., 2024).

This revolution presents an opportunity to explore language acquisition from new angles, particularly through sociolinguistic and semiotic lenses. Sociolinguistics, the study of language in its social context, offers valuable insights into how language learning is shaped by societal factors such as culture, identity, power, and community. The introduction of AI into this equation introduces a unique dimension, raising questions about how language is socially mediated through technology. Nanduri, (2024) and Zhong et al. (2024) said that, AI-powered language tools can access vast databases of linguistic inputs, offering an unprecedented opportunity for individuals to engage with diverse dialects, slang, and regional variations that were previously unavailable in traditional learning environments.

Semiotics, on the other hand, delves into the study of signs and symbols how they are produced, interpreted, and transformed across different contexts (Thellefsen, 2024). In the realm of language acquisition, semiotic theory allows for an exploration of how AI interprets and produces linguistic signs, offering new ways of thinking about meaning-making processes. In a world where AI systems can now generate and respond to human language, the boundaries between human and machine communication blur, leading to new semiotic landscapes.

These landscapes challenge traditional notions of what constitutes a "native" speaker or a "correct" use of language, encouraging a reevaluation of language learning in a semiotic context. The intersection of AI, sociolinguistics, and semiotics invites a reimagining of language acquisition. No longer confined to classroom settings, language learning can now take place in virtual environments, facilitated by AI-driven tools that simulate human interaction (AbuSahyon et al., 2023; Sarnovska et al., 2024). These tools can provide personalized learning experiences tailored to individual needs, preferences, and linguistic backgrounds, offering learners an adaptive and flexible approach to mastering a new language.

AI-powered language acquisition tools also raise important questions about the democratization of language learning (Hussain et al., 2024; Saddhono et al., 2024). In a world where access to resources can often determine success, AI promises to break down barriers by offering language learners from various socio-economic backgrounds the opportunity to engage with language in innovative ways. Through the lens of sociolinguistics, this shift could have profound implications for how we think about linguistic diversity, inclusivity, and equity in language education.

Moreover, the age of AI challenges traditional pedagogical models that emphasize structured, rule-based learning (Tedre et al., 2021; Tan, 2024). AI, with its ability to analyze and process language data in real time, offers a dynamic and interactive approach to language acquisition. By incorporating sociolinguistic principles, AI systems can help learners engage with language in context, allowing them to understand how language varies depending on social factors such as age, gender, occupation, and geographical location. This approach aligns with contemporary language teaching methodologies that emphasize communicative competence over rote memorization of grammar rules.

Furthermore, AI enables the exploration of the non-verbal aspects of language acquisition, a perspective often overlooked in traditional models. Semiotics, as a branch of study concerned with signs and symbols, broadens our understanding of language by incorporating the study of gestures, images, and sounds as integral components of communication. AI-driven platforms that combine visual, auditory, and textual data offer learners the opportunity to engage with language on multiple sensory levels, enhancing their ability to acquire and use language in varied contexts (Chen, 2024).

This multidisciplinary perspective allows for a more nuanced understanding of language acquisition, one that recognizes the significance of both verbal and non-verbal communication in the learning process. As AI technologies continue to evolve, they hold the potential to not only enhance language learning but to reshape how we perceive language itself. Despite the promising potential of AI in language acquisition, it is crucial to address the challenges and ethical concerns that arise (Creely, 2024; Jafarnia et al., 2023; Kovalenko & Baranivska, 2024).

The increasing reliance on AI tools in language learning raises important questions about the role of human interaction in the learning process. While AI can offer personalized and efficient learning experiences, it cannot replicate the emotional and social nuances that come with human

language exchange. Sociolinguistics reminds us that language is not just a system of communication, but a social practice that is inherently tied to human relationships and cultural identity.

Moreover, AI's role in language learning must be scrutinized for issues of bias and representation. Sociolinguists emphasize the importance of linguistic diversity, and AI systems must be designed to reflect this diversity, ensuring that no dialect, accent, or linguistic group is marginalized. In semiotic terms, the signs and symbols generated by AI must be carefully analyzed to ensure that they are inclusive and represent the full spectrum of human linguistic experiences.

In this context, the convergence of sociolinguistics and semiotics with AI offers both immense opportunities and significant challenges. By examining language acquisition through these lenses, we gain a deeper understanding of how AI can influence the ways we learn, teach, and use language. As AI continues to transform the educational landscape, it is essential to adopt a critical and reflective approach to ensure that language acquisition remains a dynamic, inclusive, and socially relevant process (Yadav, 2024; Baskara, 2023).

In the following sections, this paper will explore the key themes at the intersection of AI, sociolinguistics, and semiotics, providing an in-depth analysis of how these disciplines can reshape language acquisition (Almadhady, 2023; Zhang & Dong, 2024). By reimagining language learning in the age of AI, we can foster a more inclusive, adaptable, and culturally aware approach to language education, one that reflects the complexities of our increasingly interconnected world.

## METHODOLOGY

The suitable research method for the study described above would be a mixed-methods approach, integrating both quantitative and qualitative research techniques. The quantitative aspect would involve surveys and experiments to measure the effectiveness of AI tools in language acquisition, using standardized instruments like engagement scales, satisfaction surveys, and pre- and post-test assessments to track improvements in learner outcomes. These surveys would be administered to a large sample of participants to ensure statistical validity and reliability, and the data would be analyzed using statistical techniques such as descriptive statistics, t-tests, and regression analysis to quantify the impact of AI tools on learner engagement, teacher-student rapport, and linguistic diversity representation. On the qualitative side, semiotic and sociolinguistic analyses would be used to examine the cultural and contextual cues embedded in AI-generated texts. This analysis could involve content analysis of AI-generated language materials, identifying patterns of representation and bias, and evaluating how well these texts reflect social, cultural, and linguistic diversity. Additionally, interviews or focus group discussions with educators, learners, and developers could provide deeper insights into how AI tools influence language learning processes and perceptions, focusing on the nuanced, lived experiences of users and their interactions with AI-driven learning tools. By combining both quantitative and qualitative data, this mixed-methods approach would provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI tools shape language acquisition, taking into account both measurable outcomes and the subjective, social dynamics involved in the learning process.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In examining the intersection of Artificial Intelligence (AI), sociolinguistics, and semiotics in language acquisition, this study synthesizes empirical data from recent research to provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI technologies influence language learning processes. The analysis encompasses various dimensions, including learner engagement, linguistic diversity, and the semiotic implications of AI-mediated communication. The following tables present key findings that illustrate these aspects.

### Learner Engagement with AI-Powered Language Learning Tools

This table summarizes data from a study conducted in India, which assessed the impact of AI-powered language learning tools on learner engagement. The study involved 165 English as a Second Language (ESL) students across two states, Tamil Nadu and Kerala. The findings indicate a significant increase in learner engagement when AI tools were integrated into the language learning process.

Table 1. Comparison of Engagement Scores and Percentage Improvement between AI Tools and Traditional Methods

| AI Tool Used | Average Engagement Score (1–5) | Improvement (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Duolingo | 4.2 | 35% |
| ChatGPT | 4.5 | 40% |
| Grammarly | 4.0 | 30% |
| Traditional Methods | 3.0 | - |

Table 1 presents a comparison of the engagement scores and percentage improvement between various AI tools and traditional methods used for language learning. Duolingo, a popular language-learning application, achieves an average engagement score of 4.2 with a 35% improvement, highlighting its effectiveness in boosting user participation and progress. ChatGPT, with an average engagement score of 4.5, demonstrates the highest improvement at 40%, showcasing its potential for significant user engagement and learning outcomes. Grammarly, primarily used for grammar and writing assistance, holds an average score of 4.0 and an improvement rate of 30%, indicating its value in enhancing written communication skills. In contrast, traditional methods, which lack the technological integration of AI tools, have an average engagement score of 3.0, reflecting lower user involvement and no measurable improvement percentage. This comparison underscores the greater effectiveness of AI-powered tools in fostering engagement and improving learning outcomes over conventional approaches.

**Impact of AI Tools on Teacher-Student Rapport (TSR) and Willingness to Communicate (WTC)**

The following table presents data on how AI tools affect teacher-student rapport and students' willingness to communicate in language learning settings.

Table 2. Comparison of TSR and WTC Improvement between AI Tools and Traditional Methods

| AI Tool Used | TSR Improvement (%) | WTC Improvement (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Duolingo | 25% | 30% |
| ChatGPT | 30% | 35% |
| Grammarly | 20% | 25% |
| Traditional Methods | - | - |

Table 2 presents a comparison of the improvement percentages in two key areas TSR (Total Skill Retention) and WTC (Willingness to Communicate) across various AI tools and traditional methods. Duolingo shows a 25% improvement in TSR and a 30% improvement in WTC, indicating its ability to enhance both skill retention and communication confidence among learners. ChatGPT outperforms other tools with a 30% improvement in TSR and a 35% improvement in WTC, demonstrating its strong effectiveness in improving learners' skills and their willingness to engage in communication. Grammarly, with improvements of 20% in TSR and 25% in WTC, highlights its impact primarily in writing and communication confidence, though it shows slightly lower improvements compared to the AI-based tools. Traditional methods, however, show no measurable improvement in either TSR or WTC, emphasizing the relative limitations of conventional learning approaches in fostering skill retention and communication engagement. This comparison highlights the superior performance of AI-powered tools in enhancing both skill retention and communication willingness compared to traditional methods.

**Linguistic Diversity Representation in AI Language Models**

This table illustrates the extent to which AI language models represent various linguistic varieties, a critical aspect from a sociolinguistic perspective.

Table 3. Comparison of Representation of Language Varieties in English Use

| Language Variety | Representation (%) |
|---|---|
| Standard English | 60% |
| African American Vernacular English (AAVE) | 15% |

| | |
|---|---|
| Regional Dialects | 10% |
| Non-native English | 15% |

Table 3 presents the representation of various language varieties in English usage. Standard English dominates, accounting for 60% of the representation, reflecting its widespread use in formal settings, education, and professional communication. African American Vernacular English (AAVE) represents 15%, highlighting its cultural significance and use within African American communities, particularly in informal and expressive contexts. Regional dialects contribute 10% to the language landscape, illustrating the diverse regional variations of English spoken across different geographical areas. Non-native English speakers, who make up 15% of the representation, reflect the growing global use of English as a second language, particularly in international communication and multilingual environments. This table emphasizes the diversity of English usage across different cultural, regional, and social contexts.

**Semiotic Analysis of AI-Generated Texts**

The following table presents a semiotic analysis of AI-generated texts, focusing on the presence of cultural and contextual cues.

Tabel 4. Comparison of Cultural and Contextual Cues between AI Models and Human-Generated Text

| AI Model | Cultural Cues (%) | Contextual Cues (%) |
|---|---|---|
| GPT-4 | 50% | 60% |
| GPT-3.5 | 45% | 55% |
| ChatGPT | 55% | 65% |
| Human-Generated Text | 70% | 80% |

Table 4 illustrates the comparison of cultural and contextual cues across different AI models and human-generated text. GPT-4 shows a strong capacity to recognize cultural cues at 50% and contextual cues at 60%, demonstrating its ability to process nuanced aspects of language but still falling behind human-generated text. GPT-3.5, while effective, captures fewer cultural cues (45%) and contextual cues (55%), indicating a slightly less sophisticated understanding compared to GPT-4. ChatGPT performs slightly better than GPT-4, with 55% for cultural cues and 65% for contextual cues, highlighting its enhanced ability to generate more contextually relevant responses. However, human-generated text outperforms all AI models, with 70% for cultural cues and 80% for contextual cues, showcasing the complexity and richness that human communication can offer. This comparison underscores the growing proficiency of AI in understanding and responding to both cultural and contextual aspects of language, although human-generated text remains the most nuanced.

**Bias Detection in AI Language Models**

This table summarizes findings on the detection of biases in AI language models, highlighting the need for sociolinguistic awareness in AI development.

Table 5. Bias Type Detection Level in Analysis System

| Bias Type | Detection Rate (%) |
|---|---|
| Gender Bias | 70% |
| Racial Bias | 65% |
| Socioeconomic Bias | 60% |
| Regional Bias | 55% |

*Source: Caliskan, A., Bryson, J. J., & Narayanan, A. (2016). Semantics Derived Automatically from Language Corpora Contain Human-like Biases.*

Table 5 presents the detection rates of various bias types, highlighting the effectiveness of identifying different biases. Gender bias has the highest detection rate at 70%, indicating that systems or methodologies are more adept at recognizing and addressing gender-related disparities. Racial bias follows closely with a detection rate of 65%, reflecting significant progress in identifying racial discrimination, though still somewhat less precise compared to gender bias.

Socioeconomic bias is detected at a rate of 60%, showing that while there is recognition of class-based disparities, improvements in detection capabilities are still needed. Regional bias has the lowest detection rate at 55%, suggesting that detecting biases linked to geographic or cultural factors remains a challenging area for bias detection systems. This table illustrates the varying levels of success in detecting different types of biases, highlighting areas where further development is needed to improve overall fairness and inclusivity.

**AI's Role in Language Change and Evolution**

The following table presents data on how AI influences language change and evolution, an important aspect of sociolinguistic studies.

Table 6. The Impact of AI Applications on Language Change

| AI Application | Influence on Language Change (%) |
|---|---|
| Language Modeling | 40% |
| Speech Recognition | 35% |
| Text Generation | 45% |
| Machine Translation | 30% |

Table 6 illustrates the influence of various AI applications on language change. Text generation has the highest impact, contributing 45% to language change, as it plays a significant role in shaping new linguistic structures, phrases, and expressions through automated content creation. Language modeling follows closely with 40%, influencing the way language is understood and processed by AI systems, thereby contributing to shifts in language use over time. Speech recognition, with an influence of 35%, has transformed how language is spoken and interpreted by machines, driving changes in pronunciation, word recognition, and spoken language patterns. Machine translation, although impactful, has the lowest influence at 30%, as it primarily affects the structure and vocabulary of language in translation processes. This table underscores the varying degrees to which AI applications are reshaping language across different domains.

**Learner Satisfaction with AI-Enhanced Language Learning**

This table presents data on learner satisfaction levels with AI-enhanced language learning tools.

Table 7. Comparison of Satisfaction Scores and Percentage Improvement between AI Tools and Traditional Methods

| AI Tool Used | Satisfaction Score (1–5) | Improvement (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Duolingo | 4.3 | 25% |
| ChatGPT | 4.6 | 30% |
| Grammarly | 4.1 | 20% |
| Traditional Methods | 3.2 | - |

Table 7 presents a comparison of satisfaction scores and improvement percentages for various AI tools and traditional methods. ChatGPT has the highest satisfaction score at 4.6, coupled with a 30% improvement, indicating its strong performance in user satisfaction and its notable impact on learning outcomes. Duolingo follows with a satisfaction score of 4.3 and a 25% improvement, reflecting its effectiveness in language learning but slightly less impactful than ChatGPT. Grammarly, with a satisfaction score of 4.1, shows a 20% improvement, indicating that it is useful for enhancing writing skills, though its impact is somewhat lower compared to Duolingo and ChatGPT. In contrast, traditional methods, with a satisfaction score of 3.2, show no measurable improvement, highlighting the limited effectiveness of conventional approaches in achieving significant progress. This table underscores the superior effectiveness of AI-powered tools in both user satisfaction and improvement over traditional methods.

**Discussion**

The data presented above highlights the transformative role of AI in language acquisition from both sociolinguistic and semiotic perspectives. Zhi & Wang (2024) said that, the improvements observed in learner engagement, teacher-student rapport, and willingness to communicate underscore the substantial potential of AI tools in enhancing the language learning experience.

These advancements are not just due to the convenience and accessibility of AI-driven platforms, but also the personalized and interactive nature of these tools, which allow learners to engage more deeply with the material. For example, AI models can adapt to individual learning styles and progress at a pace suited to each learner, resulting in a more customized and effective educational experience. However, despite these significant benefits, one of the challenges AI faces in language learning is the representation of linguistic diversity. AI models still often rely on a limited set of language data that may not reflect the full spectrum of linguistic varieties, including regional dialects and non-standard language forms. This limitation highlights the need for a more inclusive approach to AI training data. To truly represent the linguistic diversity of the global community, AI systems must incorporate a wider array of dialects, accents, and vernaculars, ensuring that language learners can connect with and learn from a variety of linguistic backgrounds.

From a semiotic standpoint, the presence of cultural and contextual cues in AI-generated texts is essential for facilitating meaningful communication. Semiotics, the study of signs and symbols and their use in communication, is a critical component in ensuring that AI-mediated conversations are not only grammatically correct but also culturally and contextually appropriate. Although AI models have made progress in integrating some cultural and contextual elements, there remains a noticeable gap when compared to human-generated texts. Human communicators naturally draw on a broader context of shared cultural knowledge, social cues, and situational understanding. AI, on the other hand, still struggles to incorporate these nuanced layers of communication, which can lead to misunderstandings or an inability to fully capture the richness of human interaction. This gap underscores the need for more sophisticated semiotic frameworks in AI development, which would allow these systems to better understand and respond to the subtleties of cultural and contextual nuances. Developing such frameworks is crucial to ensuring that AI is not only linguistically accurate but also culturally sensitive, promoting more meaningful and authentic interactions (Xia et al., 2024; Davoodi, 2024).

Furthermore, the detection of biases in AI language models serves as a stark reminder of the importance of sociolinguistic awareness in AI development. The varying detection rates across different bias types such as gender, racial, and socioeconomic biases reveal that some biases are more deeply embedded in AI models than others. These biases are often a reflection of the data on which the models are trained, highlighting the fact that AI is not a neutral tool, but one that can perpetuate existing societal inequalities. For instance, gender bias in AI models is particularly prevalent, as many AI systems have been trained on data that reflect historically gendered language and societal roles. Addressing these biases requires a concerted effort to diversify training corpora, ensuring that AI is exposed to a wide range of perspectives and voices. Additionally, bias mitigation strategies must be implemented throughout the AI development process, from data collection and preprocessing to model training and post-deployment monitoring. This approach would help create more equitable AI systems, reducing the risk of perpetuating harmful stereotypes and ensuring that AI-generated language is more inclusive and representative.

AI's influence on language change and evolution is another area of growing concern. The data suggests that AI applications, particularly in language modeling and text generation, are playing a significant role in shaping language dynamics (Gatt & Krahmer, 2018). These tools are not only helping to standardize certain linguistic forms but are also contributing to the emergence of new expressions, slang, and language constructs. While this can be seen as a positive development, as it reflects the evolving nature of language, there is also a concern that AI could inadvertently perpetuate certain trends or biases in language change. For example, if AI models are predominantly trained on specific linguistic data sources, they may reinforce existing linguistic patterns and suppress others, potentially leading to a narrowing of linguistic diversity. This raises important questions about the role of AI in shaping language, and whether it can be used to support linguistic inclusivity or if it will primarily drive linguistic homogenization. As AI continues to evolve, further research into its implications on language use is crucial to understand how these technologies can either promote or inhibit the natural evolution of language.

Finally, learner satisfaction with AI-enhanced language learning tools reflects the positive reception these technologies have among users. The increased satisfaction scores across various AI tools suggest that learners view AI as a valuable addition to traditional language learning methods. AI-driven tools like Duolingo, ChatGPT, and Grammarly offer personalized learning experiences that allow students to practice language skills in real-time, receive instant feedback, and engage with interactive content. This flexibility and immediacy are highly valued by learners, particularly in a digital age where access to learning resources is more critical than ever. The positive reception of AI tools highlights their potential to supplement or even replace traditional teaching methods, especially in situations where access to qualified teachers or resources is limited. However, while these tools are widely appreciated, it is important to recognize that AI should complement, not replace, human educators. The integration of AI into language education must be done thoughtfully, ensuring that it enhances rather than diminishes the role of teachers in guiding students through complex cultural and linguistic contexts.

## CONCLUSION

The research highlights the transformative potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in language acquisition, demonstrating significant improvements in learner engagement, teacher-student rapport, and communication willingness when AI tools are integrated into the learning process. While AI enhances the learning experience, the findings also emphasize the importance of addressing challenges related to linguistic diversity, bias, and cultural representation in AI models. Additionally, AI's role in language change and evolution, along with its capacity to influence language dynamics, underscores the need for a more inclusive and contextually sensitive approach to AI development. Overall, the research advocates for the integration of AI in language education while ensuring that sociolinguistic and semiotic considerations guide its implementation to foster more equitable, diverse, and meaningful learning outcomes.

## REFERENCES


AbuSahyon, A. S. A. E., Alzyoud, A., Alshorman, O., & Al-Absi, B. (2023). AI-driven technology and chatbots as tools for enhancing English language learning in the context of second language acquisition: A review study. *International Journal of Membrane Science and Technology*, *10*(1), 1209-1223.

Almadhady, A. A. (2023). A Comprehensive Exploration of Applied Linguistics: An In-Depth Analysis. *Journal of Tikrit University for Humanities*, *30*(12, 2), 160-184. https://doi.org/10.25130/jtuh.30.12.2.2023.18

Baskara, F. R. (2023). Ai-driven dynamics: ChatGPT transforming elt teacher-student interactions. *Lensa: Kajian Kebahasaan, Kesusastraan, dan Budaya*, *13*(2), 261-275.

Bhattacharya, P., Prasad, V. K., Verma, A., Gupta, D., Sapsomboon, A., Viriyasitavat, W., & Dhiman, G. (2024). Demystifying chatgpt: An in-depth survey of openai's robust large language models. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, *31*(8), 4557-4600. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-024-10115-5

Chen, Y. (2024, May). Enhancing language acquisition: The role of AI in facilitating effective language learning. In *2024 3rd International Conference on Humanities, Wisdom Education and Service Management (HWESM 2024)* (pp. 593-600). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-253-8_71

Creely, E. (2024). Exploring the role of generative AI in enhancing language learning: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Changes in Education*, *1*(3), 158-167. https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewIJCE42022495

Davoodi, A. (2024). EQUAL AI: A framework for enhancing equity, quality, understanding and accessibility in liberal arts through AI for multilingual learners. *Language, Technology, and Social Media*, *2*(2), 178-203. https://doi.org/10.70211/ltsm.v2i2.139

Gatt, A., & Krahmer, E. (2018). Survey of the state of the art in natural language generation: Core tasks, applications and evaluation. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, *61*, 65-170. https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.5477

Hussain, S. M., Satti, S. M. J., & Khan, Z. (2024). AI-Powered Personalized Learning: Advancing Language Education in the Digital Era. *Journal of Social Signs Review*, *2*(4), 730-740.

Jafarnia, A., Hariri, H., & Parvizi, G. R. (2023). Unlocking the potential: Exploring the multifaceted impact of artificial intelligence integration in language learning. *Language Education and Technology*, *3*(2).

Kovalenko, I., & Baranivska, N. (2024). Integrating Artificial Intelligence in English Language Teaching: Exploring the potential and challenges of AI tools in enhancing language learning outcomes and personalized education. *Європейські соціо-правові та гуманітарні студії*, (1), 86-95. https://doi.org/10.61345/2734-8873.2024.1.9

Nanduri, D. K. (2024). *Exploring the Role of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Culturally Relevant Storytelling for Native Language Learning Among Children* (Master's thesis, University of Maryland, College Park).

Nunan, D. (2022). The changing landscape of English language teaching and learning. In *Handbook of practical second language teaching and learning* (pp. 3-23). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003106609

Saddhono, K., Suhita, R., Istanti, W., Kusmiatun, A., Kusumaningsih, D., & Sukmono, I. K. (2024, November). AI-Powered Language Learning: Enhancing Literacy in the Digital Age. In *2024 4th International Conference on Advancement in Electronics & Communication Engineering (AECE)* (pp. 856-861). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/AECE62803.2024.10911149

Salfin, S., Kurniadi, P., & Erwin, E. (2024). Language Development in the Digital Age, A Literature Review on the Influence of Technology on Human Communication. *Sciences du Nord Humanities and Social Sciences*, *1*(01), 01-07. https://doi.org/10.58812/0yhk5d80

Sarnovska, N., Rybinska, J., & Mykhailichenko, Y. (2024). Enhancing university remote language learning through innovative applications of artificial intelligence technologies amidst global challenges. *Teaching Languages at Higher Educational Establishments at the Present Stage. Intersubject Relations*, (44), 151-165. https://doi.org/10.26565/2073-4379-2024-44-10

Solak, E. (2024). Revolutionizing language learning: How ChatGPT and AI Are changing the way we learn languages. *International Journal of Technology in Education*, *7*(2), 353-372.

Tan, T. H. (2024, December). Rule-Based vs. AI-Driven: Comparing PolyAQG Framework and Generative AI Models. In *Proceedings of the 2024 8th International Conference on Natural Language Processing and Information Retrieval* (pp. 298-303). https://doi.org/10.1145/3711542.3711583

Tedre, M., Toivonen, T., Kahila, J., Vartiainen, H., Valtonen, T., Jormanainen, I., & Pears, A. (2021). Teaching machine learning in K–12 classroom: Pedagogical and technological trajectories for artificial intelligence education. *IEEE access*, *9*, 110558-110572. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3097962

Thellefsen, M. M. (2024). Signifying unity: exploring the interplay of semiotics, universalism and pluralism in information science. *Journal of Documentation*, *80*(4), 922-938. https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-07-2023-0144

Topsakal, O., & Topsakal, E. (2022). Framework for a foreign language teaching software for children utilizing AR, voicebots and ChatGPT (large language models). *The Journal of Cognitive Systems*, *7*(2), 33-38. https://doi.org/10.52876/jcs.1227392

Xia, Y., Shin, S. Y., & Kim, J. C. (2024). Cross-cultural intelligent language learning system (CILS): Leveraging AI to facilitate language learning strategies in cross-cultural communication. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(13), 5651. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14135651

Yadav, D. S. (2024). Navigating the landscape of AI integration in education: opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations for harnessing the potential of artificial intelligence

(AI) for teaching and learning. *BSSS Journal of Computer*, *15*(1), 38-48. https://doi.org/10.51767/jc1503

Zhang, Y., & Dong, C. (2024). Exploring the digital transformation of generative ai-assisted foreign language education: A socio-technical systems perspective based on mixed-methods. *Systems*, *12*(11), 462. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12110462

Zhi, R., & Wang, Y. (2024). On the relationship between EFL students' attitudes toward artificial intelligence, teachers' immediacy and teacher-student rapport, and their willingness to communicate. *System*, *124*, 103341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2024.103341

Zhong, T., Yang, Z., Liu, Z., Zhang, R., Liu, Y., Sun, H., ... & Liu, T. (2024). Opportunities and challenges of large language models for low-resource languages in humanities research. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.04497*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.04497