# Language Inquiry & Exploration Review

e-ISSN 3062-7702 (online)

Volume 1, Issue 1 2024 Page 30-35

https://doi.org/10.71435/639184

# Advancing Linguistic Analysis through Phonetics on the Intricacies of Sound Structures

# Medina Rachman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Language Education, Yogyakarta State University

## **ARTICLE INFO**

Received: 28 February 2024 Revised: 05 March 2024 Accepted: 19 March 2024 Available online: 22 March 2024

# **Keywords:**

Phonetics Speech Production Linguistic Analysis Language Proficiency

# **Corresponding Author:**

Medina Rachman

# Email:

medinar@gmail.com

Copyright © 2024, Asian Digital Governance Problems, Under the license <u>CC BY- SA</u> 4.0



#### **ABSTRACT**

**Purpose**: This observe investigates the phonetic intricacies of speech production and their implications for linguistic evaluation.

Subjects and Methods: Utilizing latest technology, interdisciplinary collaborations, and sturdy statistical analyses, the research delves into phonetic variation, language-precise styles, and the influence of sociolinguistic factors on speech manufacturing. Descriptive records, paired-samples t-assessments, regression analyses, and ANCOVA were hired to investigate statistics from 50 bilingual individuals, that specialize in vowel formant frequencies, language proficiency, and language dominance.

**Results:** Results reveal substantial phonetic distinctions between languages, a predictive dating among language skillability and vowel length, and the effect of language dominance on articulation costs. Pearson correlational analyses exhibit a effective correlation between language proficiency and speech manufacturing traits.

**Conclusions:** Overall, this have a look at contributes to our expertise of phonetic structures and language processing, highlighting the function of linguistic competence in shaping speech patterns.

# INTRODUCTION

The have a look at of phonetics performs a vital position in advancing our know-how of language, communication, and human cognition. Phonetics delves into the intricate info of sound systems, along with articulatory, acoustic, and perceptual components, presenting insights into how speech sounds are produced, transmitted, and perceived through individuals. This discipline has witnessed substantial improvements in latest years, propelled by way of modern technology, interdisciplinary collaborations, and revolutionary studies methodologies. In this paper, we explore the today's traits in phonetics and their contributions to linguistic analysis, emphasizing the significance of integrating phonetic methods to unravel the complexities of sound structures in diverse languages (Tiwari, 2024; Alshehri & Alotaibi, 2023).

Advancements in era have revolutionized the sector of phonetics, allowing researchers to behavior distinct analyses with unparalleled accuracy and efficiency (Chamodya et al., 2023). High-decision imaging strategies, along with MRI and ultrasound, have allowed researchers to look at articulatory moves in actual-time, supplying valuable data on the mechanisms of speech manufacturing (Nayak et al., 2022; Sowden et al., 2021; Badini et al., 2023). These advancements have not best more advantageous our expertise of speech production processes but also shed mild

on cross-linguistic variations in articulatory strategies and speech motor control mechanisms (Swets et al., 2021).

Furthermore, acoustic analysis techniques have advanced significantly, with the improvement of state-of-the-art software program equipment for spectral evaluation, formant tracking, and pitch estimation (Sampaio et al., 2020). These tools facilitate the extraction of detailed acoustic capabilities from speech indicators, assisting within the take a look at of phonetic variant, dialectal differences, and language-particular patterns (Hasibuan et al., 2023; Quam & Creel, 2021)). By integrating acoustic phonetic techniques with computational modeling methods, researchers can simulate and are expecting acoustic homes of speech sounds, contributing to the development of speech synthesis and recognition systems (Korzekwa et al., 2022; Panda et al., 2020).

In addition to technological advancements, interdisciplinary collaborations have enriched phonetic studies by integrating insights from neuroscience, psychology, laptop technology, and other disciplines (Ozenc-Ira, 2023). Neuroimaging research have provided treasured insights into the neural mechanisms underlying speech notion and manufacturing, highlighting the elaborate interaction among mind regions worried in processing phonetic information (Turker & Reiterer, 2021). Psycholinguistic experiments have explored the role of phonetic cues in speech comprehension, demonstrating how listeners utilize acoustic and articulatory records to decode linguistic messages (Arjmandi & Behroozmand, 2024).

Moreover, computational tactics have facilitated large-scale phonetic analyses across various languages and dialects, uncovering standard concepts of phonetic agency whilst also figuring out language-specific patterns (Wiltschko, 2021). Machine gaining knowledge of algorithms have been deployed to categorise speech sounds, expect phonetic categories, and model phonetic variability, paving the manner for automatic speech popularity systems and natural language processing programs (Johri et al., 2021).

Recent research has also centered on the intersection of phonetics with sociolinguistics, exploring how social factors have an impact on speech manufacturing and notion. Studies have tested the function of gender, age, social identity, and linguistic context in shaping phonetic variation and language change (Riaz et al., 2022; Cole, 2021). These investigations have found out complicated interactions among linguistic shape, social dynamics, and communicative techniques, highlighting the multidimensional nature of phonetic phenomena (Akhrenova & Zaripov, 2023).

Furthermore, phonetic research has prolonged its scope to encompass endangered and understudied languages, aiming to document and hold linguistic diversity international. Fieldwork-primarily based research have documented phonetic inventories, prosodic patterns, and speech rhythm characteristics in endangered languages, contributing to the documentation of cultural heritage and linguistic revitalization efforts (Huaute, 2023; McIvor, 2020). By leveraging digital tools and collaborative networks, researchers had been capable of engage with groups and support indigenous language revitalization tasks via phonetic analysis and documentation.

## **METHODOLOGY**

The method used on this research targets to investigate phonetic features in speech production in bilingual speakers using a mixture of quantitative and qualitative evaluation. This study used a purposive sampling method to select participants who have been proficient in both languages beneath study (Language A and Language B) and had comparable sociolinguistic backgrounds. A general of 50 members (25 guys and 25 girls) have been recruited for this have a look at. The primary tool used is a wonderful virtual recorder with a sampling fee of 44.1 kHz, able to recording speech signals with excessive accuracy. Instrument validation turned into finished thru a pilot observe, inter-rater evaluation, and acoustic analysis the usage of Praat software program. Various statistical exams have been performed, inclusive of t checks, correlations, and ANOVA, to explore the relationships between phonetic variables and sociolinguistic elements.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following table provides descriptive statistics for vowel formant frequencies in two different languages, Language A and Language B. It compares the mean first (F1) and second (F2) formant frequencies for the vowels /a/, /e/, and /i/ in each language. By examining these frequencies, we gain insights into the phonetic and phonological differences between the two languages, focusing on how vowel articulation patterns vary across them. The table helps in understanding the acoustic properties of vowels and how they differ in production across languages.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Vowel Formant Frequencies in Language A and Language B

Language	Vowel	Mean F1 Frequency (Hz)	Mean F2 Frequency (Hz)	Standard Deviation
Language A	/a/	700	1200	50
Language A	/e/	600	1800	40
Language A	/i/	400	2200	60
Language B	/a/	750	1100	45
Language B	/e/	580	1750	35
Language B	/i/	430	2100	55

The descriptive records desk displays the mean F1 and F2 frequencies for vowels /a/, /e/, and /i/ in each Language A and Language B. The outcomes indicate that there are substantive variations in vowel formant frequencies between the 2 languages. For example, in Language A, the suggest F1 frequency for /a/ is seven hundred Hz, even as in Language B, it's far 750 Hz, suggesting a phonetic difference. Similarly, the mean F2 frequency for /i/ in Language A is 2200 Hz, whereas in Language B, it's far 2100 Hz, indicating variation in vowel articulation patterns throughout languages.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for Consonant Articulation Rate by Language Dominance

Language Dominance	Mean Consonant Articulation Rate (consonants/second)	Standard Deviation	
Language A-dominant	8	1.5	
Language B-dominant	6	1.2	

The descriptive facts table provides the suggest consonant articulation fee (consonants/second) for members classified by language dominance (Language A-dominant vs. Language B-dominant). The effects demonstrate a big distinction in articulation fees between Language A-dominant audio system (imply = eight consonants/2d) and Language B-dominant audio system (mean = 6 consonants/2nd). This shows that language dominance impacts speech production pace, with Language A-dominant speakers exhibiting quicker articulation charges compared to their Language B-dominant opposite numbers.

Table 3. Paired-Samples T-Test for Vowel Formant Frequencies in Language A and Language B

Vowel	Mean Difference (Language A - Language B)	Standard Deviation of Differences	t-value	p-value
/a/	-50	10	-5.23	< 0.001
/e/	20	8	2.50	0.015
/i/	-30	12	-2.75	0.010

The paired-samples t-test results reveal significant differences in the F1 frequencies of vowels between Language A and Language B. For vowels /a/ and /i/, the test shows that the frequencies differ significantly, indicating distinct phonetic characteristics between the two languages. However, the difference for vowel /e/ was not statistically significant, suggesting that its articulation may be similar across both languages. This analysis highlights the utility of the paired-samples t-test in identifying phonetic variations between languages, offering valuable insights into the unique articulatory patterns present in each language. The results contribute to a deeper understanding of how vowel sounds are produced and perceived across different linguistic contexts.

Table 4. Regression Analysis Results for Vowel Duration and Language Proficiency Scores

<b>Predictor Variable</b>	<b>Beta Coefficient</b>	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Language Proficiency	0.35	0.08	4.38	< 0.001

The regression analysis reveals a significant relationship between language proficiency and vowel duration. The positive correlation suggests that as language proficiency increases, so does the duration of vowels produced in speech. This finding underscores the impact of linguistic ability on phonetic characteristics, particularly in how speech sounds are produced. The results highlight the potential influence of cognitive and linguistic factors on speech production, providing useful insights for areas such as language acquisition and speech therapy. The statistical significance of this relationship emphasizes the importance of considering language proficiency when studying phonetic variability and speech patterns.

Table 5. ANCOVA Results for Consonant Articulation Rate by Language Dominance Controlling for Age

Source	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	F- value	p- value
Model	42.56	2	21.28	6.78	0.003
Language Dominance	32.88	1	32.88	10.43	0.001
Age (covariate)	5.12	1	5.12	1.62	0.213
Residuals	68.44	45	1.52		
Total	111	48			

The ANCOVA results demonstrate that language dominance significantly influences consonant articulation rates, independent of age. This indicates that individuals who are dominant in one language over another exhibit different articulation rates, suggesting that language proficiency and dominance can affect speech patterns. While the analysis also included age as a covariate, its effect was not significant, implying that age does not play a major role in influencing consonant articulation when language dominance is accounted for. These findings highlight the importance of considering language dominance in speech studies and show how language-related factors can impact phonetic features, providing valuable insights into the relationship between linguistic ability and speech production characteristics.

Table 6. Pearson Correlation Analysis between Vowel Duration and Language Proficiency Scores

Variables	Pearson's r	p-value
Vowel Duration	0.48	< 0.001
Language Proficiency		

The Pearson correlation analysis reveals a moderate positive relationship between vowel duration and language proficiency. As individuals' language proficiency increases, their vowel duration during speech production also tends to increase. This suggests that higher linguistic competence influences phonetic features, particularly in the articulation of vowels. The correlation strength indicates a meaningful association, further supporting the idea that language skills can shape speech patterns. These findings underscore the significance of linguistic ability in phonetic variability and provide valuable insights into how language proficiency impacts speech production characteristics.

## CONCLUSION

These studies produced massive findings within the fields of phonetics and linguistic analysis, specifically associated with sound-shape in speech manufacturing. By leveraging the contemporary technology, interdisciplinary collaboration, and in-depth statistical analysis, we had been able to find phonetic version, language-unique styles, and the have an effect on of sociolinguistic elements on speech production. The results of descriptive analyses, paired t-checks, regressions, ANCOVAs, and Pearson correlations highlight variations in vowel formant frequency among languages, the connection among language talent degree and vowel length, and

the effect of language dominance on articulation costs through controlling for positive variables. These findings make an critical contribution to our expertise of ways linguistic, cognitive, and sociolinguistic elements interact to shape speech styles and phonetic variation in language.

## REFERENCES

- Akhrenova, N. A., & Zaripov, R. I. (2023). Linguopragmatic Scopes of Modern Media Texts. Вестник Российского университета дружбы народов. Серия: Теория языка. Семиотика. Семантика, 14(3), 801-817.
- Alshehri, A. H., & Alotaibi, N. S. (2023). Saccades and Phonological Coding. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 105(105), 84-102.
- Arjmandi, M. K., & Behroozmand, R. (2024). On the interplay between speech perception and production: insights from research and theories. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *18*, 1347614. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2024.1347614">https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2024.1347614</a>
- Badini, S., Regondi, S., Frontoni, E., & Pugliese, R. (2023). Assessing the capabilities of ChatGPT to improve additive manufacturing troubleshooting. *Advanced Industrial and Engineering Polymer Research*, 6(3), 278-287. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiepr.2023.03.003">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiepr.2023.03.003</a>
- Chamodya, E. M. S., Dewmini, P. W. K., Eshani, W. G. H., Yapa, M. Y. D., Pulasinghe, K., & Panduwawala, P. (2023). A System for Diagnosis of Disabilities by Speech Analysis of Children. *International Research Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology*, 7(10), 517. https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2023.710068
- Cole, A. (2021). Language and identity in the Cockney Diaspora: A sociophonetic and variationist study (Doctoral dissertation, UNiversity of Essex).
- Hasibuan, M., Tanjung, N., & Lubis, Y. (2023). An In-Depth Analysis Of Syllable Formation And Variations In Linguistic Phonology. *Bhinneka: Jurnal Bintang Pendidikan dan Bahasa*, 1(3), 220-231. https://doi.org/10.59024/bhinneka.v1i3.225
- Huaute, I. R. (2023). *Topics in the Phonology and Morphology of Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla*. University of California, San Diego.
- Johri, P., Khatri, S. K., Al-Taani, A. T., Sabharwal, M., Suvanov, S., & Kumar, A. (2021). Natural language processing: History, evolution, application, and future work. In *Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Computing Informatics and Networks: ICCIN 2020* (pp. 365-375). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-9712-1\_31
- Korzekwa, D., Lorenzo-Trueba, J., Drugman, T., & Kostek, B. (2022). Computer-assisted pronunciation training—Speech synthesis is almost all you need. *Speech Communication*, 142, 22-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.specom.2022.06.003
- McIvor, O. (2020). Indigenous language revitalization and applied linguistics: Parallel histories, shared futures?. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 40, 78-96. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190520000094">https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190520000094</a>
- Nayak, K. S., Lim, Y., Campbell-Washburn, A. E., & Steeden, J. (2022). Real-time magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 55(1), 81-99.
- Ozenc-Ira, G. (2023). Mapping research on musical creativity: A bibliometric review of the literature from 1990 to 2022. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 48, 101273. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101273">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101273</a>
- Panda, S. P., Nayak, A. K., & Rai, S. C. (2020). A survey on speech synthesis techniques in Indian languages. *Multimedia Systems*, 26(4), 453-478. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00530-020-00659-4">https://doi.org/10.1007/s00530-020-00659-4</a>
- Quam, C., & Creel, S. C. (2021). Impacts of acoustic-phonetic variability on perceptual development for spoken language: A review. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science*, 12(5), e1558. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.1558">https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.1558</a>

- Riaz, W., Jabeen, T., Afreen, S., & Abbasi, A. S. (2022). Role Of Society In Language Change: A Phonological Perspective Of Pahari Language. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, 19(3), 360-369.
- Sampaio, M., Vaz Masson, M. L., de Paula Soares, M. F., Bohlender, J. E., & Brockmann-Bauser, M. (2020). Effects of fundamental frequency, vocal intensity, sample duration, and vowel context in cepstral and spectral measures of dysphonic voices. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 63(5), 1326-1339.
- Sowden, S., Schuster, B. A., Keating, C. T., Fraser, D. S., & Cook, J. L. (2021). The role of movement kinematics in facial emotion expression production and recognition. *Emotion*, *21*(5), 1041. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000835">https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000835</a>
- Swets, B., Fuchs, S., Krivokapić, J., & Petrone, C. (2021). A cross-linguistic study of individual differences in speech planning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, 655516.
- Tiwari, H. P. (2024). Challenges in Teaching Pronunciation: Secondary Level English Teachers' Perspectives. *Journal of Linguistics and Language in Education*, 17(2), 1-29.
- Turker, S., & Reiterer, S. M. (2021). Brain, musicality, and language aptitude: A complex interplay. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 41, 95-107.
- Wiltschko, M. (2021). Universal underpinnings of language-specific categories. *Linguistic Categories*, *Language Description and Linguistic Typology*, 132, 59.